



WELCOME AND OPENING

1.1 Attendance

Bre Shanahan, Ahmad Hafizuddin, Amy Hearder, Anna Kimpton, Callum Lindsay, Connor Price, David Hallam, Emma Mezger, Jacob Roosendaal, Daniel Roden, Luke Thomas, Martha McKinley, Max Tran, Mike Anderson, Nicole Mcewen, Omar Ali MacIntyre, Pauline Chiwawa, Rahul MS, Ridhima Vinay, Sophia Perkins, Viknash VM, Will Norrish

1.2 Apologies

1.3 Proxies

Adam Elyousef for Meizhu Chen
Anna Kimpton for Vin Kalim
Vaishnavi Radhakrishnan for Steven Okbay
Rahman Abdul Rahim for CJ Daudu
Joey Nguyen for Zhen You
Lily Hayward for Riley Doleman
Lucinda Thai-LeTram for Riley Klug

1.4 Observers

1.5 Absent

Costa Toufexis

2.0 DECLARATION OF POTENTIAL OR PERCEIVED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

UWA Staff Member

- Hafiz
- Luke
- Vik

3.0 CONFIRMATION OF PREVIOUS MINUTES

Minutes voted and passed unanimously.

4.0 BUSINESS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES

None.

5.0 BUSINESS COMPLETED VIA CIRCULAR

None

6.0 BUSINESS FROM THE EXECUTIVE

None.

7.0 DIRECTORS REPORTS

7.1 Managing Director

7.2 Commercial

7.3 Finance

7.4 Student Services

Tabled until Tony returns.



8.0 STUDENT REPORTS

- 8.1 President
 - 8.2 General Secretary
 - 8.3 Guild Chair
 - 8.4 Vice President **not tabled**
 - 8.5 Education Council President
 - 8.6 Societies Council President **not tabled**
 - 8.7 Public Affairs Council President
 - 8.8 WASAC Chair
 - 8.9 Women's Officer
 - 8.10 Welfare Officer
 - 8.11 Postgraduate Students' Association President
 - 8.12 International Students' Department President
 - 8.13 Residential Students' Department President
 - 8.14 Environment Officer
 - 8.15 Sports Officer
 - 8.16 Access Department
 - 8.17 Pride Officers
 - 8.18 Ethnocultural Collective Conveners
 - 8.19 OGC Reports
- And the rest as tabled.
Passed unanimously.

9.0 QUESTION TIME

NM: For Bre about the Academic Board meeting. Did you go to that, if not why?

BS: Father was in hospital and was not able to make it. The agenda of academic board is private and could not be shared with students not on the Academic Board.

OM: Could you ask Emma Mezger?

BS: No other students could make it.

OM: For Emma, why didn't you make the Academic Board meeting?

EM: I had work, which was not optional.

NM: I already knew, I just had to make students aware that no other students were in that meeting. Why didn't you put in allegations about the restructure staff cuts in your report?

BS: The University has made no such claims publicly, and we are not in the position to comment on something that there is no certainty on.

NM: For Amy, was there a point where the University rejected the regulations?

AH: The University did not reject them, we have been in ongoing conversations. Tony brought it up in his report.

BS: Comments that the changes are largely trivial and about aesthetic matters.

MA: Notes that Tony did talk to it.

OM: Asks hypothetical question about the Senate – would you support the restructure.

BS: We discuss this later.

10.0 MOTIONS ON NOTICE (OPERATIONS)



- 10.1 The 107th Guild Council approves the extension of the Managing Director's contract for a further period of three years, effective from 17th December 2022.

Moved: Bre Shanahan
Seconded: Luke Thomas

BS comments on the importance of continuity in the coming year. Tony has committed good service to the Guild. Luke reiterates her points.

The motion passes unanimously, with an abstention from NM.

- 10.2 The 107th Guild Council appoints Curtis White and Charlie Frankpitt as the 2021 PROSH Co-Directors.

Moved: Bre Shanahan
Seconded: Emma Mezger

BS says that PROSH is appointed by Council, and that Curtis and Charlie, appointed by interview, will do a good job.

MA asks about the interview process and who is on the panel. BS says it goes from CV to Jenny, Antonia, Bre, and Emma – traditionally Elise would be there but she is on maternity leave.

DR says Curtis is an “awesome student” with the unique qualification of having a good sense of humour. OM also commends Curtis.

LT speaks in favour of Charlie as a great RSD committee member and a good PROSH director.

Motion passes unanimously with abstention from NM.

- 10.3 The 107th Guild Council:
10.3.1 The 107th Guild Council accepts the Updated International Students' Department Rules 2020 as passed by the Governance Committee.

Moved: Ahmad Hafizuddin
Seconded: Viknash VM

AH says there are minor changes and they are uncontroversial. MA notes that they are not in the Agenda pack on the drive.

Motion is deferred to next Council.

- 10.4 The 107th Guild Council directs the Guild Executive and Guild management to begin the recruitment of the 2021 Guild Venture Chair, to be appointed by interview with the Associate Director Guild Venture and 2020 Venture Co-Chairs.

Moved: Luke Thomas
Seconded: Amy Header

LT says that we need to recruit for next year's Venture committee, and that while the rules/regulations are currently being done up, an interim process is required.

Motion is passed unanimously, with abstention from NM.

- 10.5 The 107th Guild Council:
10.5.1 Directs the Governance Committee to establish clear rules for Guild department related elections to increase transparency



10.5.2 Mandates the appointment of the Guild managing director and/or nominee as the returning officer of all Guild Department Elections

Moved: Viknash VM

Seconded: Ahmad Hafizuddin

VV says that this comes from discussions in Governance, that it is better for the elections to be formally handled by staff.

NM asks to clarify what an RO does, which MA responds to.

AH: Wants to make some amendments to make the motion more reflective of the conversations in the Governance Committee. Some departments don't elect committees, and we wouldn't want staff who aren't trained to be appointed by an RO.

MA: Suggests he has run the most elections on Council, and says there is a lot of work that goes into it. He is supportive of the amendment to create RO training, and also diversifying responsibility from Tony. Suggests that some subcouncil committee, department committee, etc., elections could do with more relaxed rules than as office bearers.

DH: Is the purpose of this motion to get away from students being the RO? Should staff to be included.

VV says that staff should be reincluded in the amendment to give the election less bias.

AH says that RO training would potentially make students suitable to run the election, and likewise staff without training may not be beneficial.

MA says that this will be going to the Governance committee, and so we shouldn't mandate a specific part of that up front. There may be cases when students could run election well: perhaps we should allow Governance to have that discretion instead – with the implication, from this debate, that staff are the default where possible.

The amendment reads:

1. The 107th Guild Council Directs the Governance Committee:
 - a. To work with Guild Departments develop a standardised process for electing Department Officers for transparency and accountability; and
 - b. Develop Returning Officer training and mandates future Department Officer elections to use Returning Officers that have had this training

Motion passes unanimously.

11.0 MOTIONS ON NOTICE (REPRESENTATION)

11.1 The 107th Guild Council:

11.1.1 Commends the Relay for life committee on the outstanding work they have done this year despite Covid restrictions and the success they achieved;

11.1.2 Commends the UWA Relay for life participants for raising close to \$111,000

Moved: Viknash VM

Seconded: Christopher John Daudu

VV says this is one of the highest values raised.

AR says good to see.



LT says he is happy to congratulate them as they have worked brilliantly with the executive.

11.2 The 107th Guild Council:

- 11.2.1 Commends the Relay for life committee on the outstanding work they have done this year despite Covid restrictions and the success they achieved;
- 11.2.2 Commends the UWA Relay for life participants for raising close to \$124,000
- 11.2.3 Commends Medhavi Gopal and the Relay for Life Committee on their great success.

Moved: Viknash VM

Seconded: Ahman Abdul Rahman for Christopher John Daudu

RV says that it's a great initiative the get departments involved and connected, and encourages more to get involved.

DR says welfare has a strategy to participate and get involved, and supports the motion.

The motion passes unanimously.

11.3 The 107th Guild Council:

- 11.3.1 Commends the University of Adelaide for being the first Group of 8 university to give international students who are stuck offshore a 20% tuition fee rebate.
- 11.3.2 Calls on the UWA Administration to acknowledge the struggles of international students.
- 11.3.3 Calls on UWA to implement a 20% tuition fee rebate to international students who are stuck offshore.

Moved: Viknash VM

Seconded: Zhen You (proxied by Joey Nguyen)

VV says recognising the budget scenario, this is still unfair to students offshore.

There are no questions on the motion.

NM speaks for the motion and says people deserve refunds. She claims that the \$70m deficit is untrue, and could still afford refunds.

RV says that she supports this motion because international students don't have access to on campus resources, and deserve a refund.

EM says that she supports the motion, and hopes to partner to run a campaign with the EAN for this.

Motion passes unanimously.

11.4 The 107th Guild Council:

- 11.4.1 Condemns the UWA Senate for acting against the interests of students by unanimously endorsing the restructure proposed in the Senate on 19/10/2
- 11.4.2 Censures the PSA President and the Guild President for acting against the democratic principle of this Guild by voting for the restructure in the Senate meeting, which is formally opposed to all cuts to staff and courses in line with motions passed in May, August and September
- 11.4.3 Acknowledges that under clause 28 of the Enterprise Agreement Variation, restructures explicitly permit job cuts



- 11.4.4 Recognises that major cuts to staff and courses are occurring at universities throughout Australia, and that UWA's restructure should be seen in this context
- 11.4.5 Moves the Guild to make an official, public statement condemning the UWA senate's decision to endorse the restructure
- 11.4.6 Commits to increasing the number of elected student representatives observing future senate meetings

Moved: Nicole McEwen

Seconded: Omar Ali MacIntyre

NM says the restructure is dreadful, and proposed after the \$70 million structural deficit. Progressive guilds need to stand up and fight back against restructures. NM has been speaking to staff who are concerned about the restructure. Says courses will be removed. \$12m has been saved due to the restructure. Says many million are yet to come. We have an academic board meeting where we don't know what happened, and a President and PSA President who votes for the VC to cut staff.

OM says students and staff are dreading the outcome of this restructure. There's already deep inequity, and there will be more if we don't do anything. OM says the Guild and the Union have been misled. OM raises a petition.

AH in her discretion may pass question time and go straight to debate.

NM moves a procedural motion to accept question time, and raise dissent in the Chair. Chair moves to Hafiz.

AH says that debate is still allowed, but there is not point to including questions as people should have an understanding of the motions, and that it is beneficial to hear both sides.

NM says this isn't at all personal, it is 100% political. We should dismiss questions on a case by case and not the entirety of question time. OM whispers to NM. NM says she would do this to anyone.

The point of order passes and the council goes to question.

DH asks Nicole what a Censuring looks like. NM says its just a formal condemnation.

BS delivers a statement.

VV asks what it means to observe senate. BS interrupts and says open senate is open to all observers. OM says that more people should be aware of how to observe senate. NM says that she thought in open senate only invitees could be brought to open senate, and that closed senate is a problem.

JR asks given what a censure is, why is this happening? NM says that the university is trying to restructure and we should formally condemn this. NM says its ridiculous she can't censure someone who has approved a restructure.

VV asks isn't the senate a different board to the Guild. OM says that only the President and the PSA President can sit on that board, and that's a bit of a farce. OM and LT have been named. NM acknowledges that they are separate.



DR says that faculties used to be separated, and not joined as they are now. Back in 2016 NM's caucus and the council voted against faculties. NM says no, changes can be made. NM says you can't see the restructure as separate from the future staff cuts.

BS delivers a statement.

What we discussed in Council and Senate are completely separate things. The conversation took place in closed senate, and Bre cannot comment due to legal obligations on the content discussed. At no point was OM threatened with legal action.

BS says that the discussion around the restructuring took place in closed Senate (the equivalent of in-camera in Guild Council), restricting her ability to talk about what happened in Senate or the proposal that we considered.

In regards to the Censure motion, and Academic Board, BS says there are 6 student representatives on academic board. The agenda was limited to members of academic board, restricting who was able to proxy. BS did contact a student who was asked to ask certain questions on her behalf, but these things can fall through.

The Guild has sought legal advice on the motion put today, from UWA Legal and our external lawyer at Francis Burt Chambers. BS reads out the relevant parts of their advice.

The result is:

The Guild is an organisation separate to the university, and is the recognised means of communication between students and the Senate

BS says as a member of Senate, there are certain legal duties she is bound to uphold which must necessarily separate the role as Guild President and student member of Senate. In Senate meetings, and other meetings with university members, BS is able to express the conclusions we come to in Guild Council. However, this cannot influence the way BS votes on Senate

BS says she that while she cannot go into the details of the proposal or discussion that was heard at Senate, you will have to trust that she conducted herself in a way that had students at the forefront of my mind. BS says there have been enough discussion arounds cuts and what we anticipate will come in the next few months this year for this to be at the forefront of mind both in Senate and in other meetings with university officials

BS says turning to the restructure, there are several components that are worth noting: The proposal to disestablish the faculties was endorsed by the NTEU. This is because: The current executive structure, and in particular the Deans, were unable to exercise meaningful oversight to ensure the EBA is followed in Faculties; Curriculum decisions made at an Executive or Faculty level lacked sufficient justification from a business or pedagogy standpoint and often occurred without consultation of the people coordinating and teaching the subjects. It restricted the ability of staff to develop or suggest new curriculum ideas due to the top-down nature of the decision making process. This allows staff to be empowered and provides a higher quality educational experience for students; It provides better career progression paths, particularly for women at this university Members of the Student Consultative Committee and Education Council were broadly in favour of the dissolution of Faculties as it allows for stronger student representation at a school level.

BS says particularly for FacSocs like ALVA, who have traditionally had no voice in their education, this is empowering. BS has been working already with David Sadler to secure this.



BS adds that the Faculty system has weakened the student experience. It has resulted in long bureaucratic processes that see reviews conducted but not implemented for over 4 years – longer than the lifecycle of an average UWA student. Removal this will provide better avenues for us to pursue improvements to the student experience

Finally, the assertion that dissolving the Faculties will make it easier to push through cuts is simply untrue. All of the usual processes – this being curriculum committee, Education Committee and Academic Board are remaining in place. All that will change is the localised decision making structure which will actually have more staff and student impact by engaging with staff actually affected by the decision

Turning to the discussion around the EAV, this is a legal definition that is being read into clause 28 of the EBA. The actual wording of the clause is:

For the duration of Schedule C, the University will not implement Involuntary Redundancies as a generalised cost-cutting measure if it is not connected to a reduction in work.

28.4 The University may only make Employees' employment Involuntarily Redundant in the following circumstances:

(a) Where the Redundancy is due to the permanent abolition of a substantial work function (such as the abolition of a discipline) or closure of a campus, in accordance with clause 28.5 of Schedule C which results in the work no longer being required to be performed by anyone.

(b) Where the Redundancy is due to an insufficiency of work in a particular work unit or function and there is a surplus of Employees, in accordance with clause 28.6 of Schedule C.

BS has confirmed with UWA Legal and UWA HR Legal that this clause is not activated by virtue of a blanket restructure. Any attempts to undertake redundancy will still have to meet the provisions – i.e. nothing has substantially changed.

BS says she is not sure what the movers are asking this Council to commit to regarding students to observe Senate. It is already possible for students to observe Senate. That's not something the Guild has to facilitate. BS concludes statement.

Council goes on a 5 minute break. Council resumes from the point after Bre's statement.

AH moves a procedural motion to limit speaking time to 3 minutes.

Motion passes unanimously.

DR speaks against the motion. Tried to use statements against BS that she could not defend herself with, because of Senate confidentiality. The motion is just not true. DR says that the restructure is good for students, gives more students voice in student advocacy.

NM says that the vote was unanimous, as written in the media. She says it was then personally backed up. NM says the legal requirements on senate are ridiculous, which students shouldn't sit on or students should break the rules.

CP says that he's speaking against the motion, and that Bre and Rahul have done a fantastic job. While that's their role here, they have their obligations to the senate and the Univeristy. We should support our democratically elected leaders.



LT says that the motion is based on heresay, and is untrue. NM is making up a discussion that didn't happen, and BS and RM are being put in a place: accused of things they can't defend themselves.

BS says ungraded passes, parking permits, special consideration, examples of where the Guild has properly used mechanisms to advocate for students.

JR says this whole motion is malicious and unacceptable. JR criticises the behaviour of those who are behind these motions which seek to single out and manipulate other people.

NM says VC spoke about the motion and so she got her information from the motion on academic board. There is a debate in our society, and NM is saying the Guild should take a left wing stance oppositional to management. We know staff are scared by it.

VV says this affects staff, and the NTEU speaks for them. VV says he doesn't know if he has a job next year – there is just so much uncertainty. We should act when we have more information, and act like responsible board members. We may not like management, but we do need to work with them.

OM says staff issues and student issues are related.

CL says that there is obscurity around senate, and that councillors and students should be aware of their rights in regards to attending senate.

NM says that we can't trust what Amit Chakma tells us, and says she raises this motion because she disagrees.

VV says that Nicole has made her thoughts known, through this and facebook, and the motion is not required.

BS she is bound, and breaking that bind could result in lawsuit.

OM says he was seeking clarity in good faith. BS said that it was not in good faith, with OM commenting publicly on social media.

NM says that the NTEU published the figure, as well as several deans of faculties.

VV says that he would like certainty, but that it doesn't exist. We don't have the complete facts.

CP moves to go to a vote.

The procedural motion passes, with 3 votes against and the rest for.

The motion fails with 3 votes against and 5 abstentions.

11.5 The 107 Guild council:

11.5.1 Notes the restructure passed at the recent Senate meeting, and rejects any and all resulting staff, unit or course cuts

11.5.2 Acknowledges that under clause 28 of the Enterprise Agreement Variation, restructures explicitly permit job cuts

11.5.3 Recognises that major cuts to staff and courses are occurring at universities throughout Australia, and that UWA's restructure should be seen in this context

11.5.4 Recommits to a campaign against cuts to staff and courses, and will:



- 11.5.4.1 Release a public statement about the ramifications of the restructure
- 11.5.4.2 Email all students explaining that 10% of academic staff and 20% of professional staff are slated to be cut in the near future

Moved: Nicole McEwen

Seconded: Omar Ali MacIntyre

AH uses discretion as Chair to consider this the same as the previous motion substantively. OM asks whether this is a regular tactic.

The motion fails on a vote.

12.0 MOTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

- 12.1 The 107th Guild Council accepts the updated Student Guild Regulations, as passed by the Governance Committee.

Moved: Amy Hearder

Seconded by Bre Shanahan

Hafiz asks why the motion is late.

AH says it is because the motion was only heard last Governance meeting, and needed to go through UWA legal, which the meeting was set for recently. AH says this could continue to drag on, but its ready for discussion now.

The motion to hear the motion passes, with only two against.

AH says the new changes give more power to council, and are as detailed in the very descriptive email sent out earlier. Clarifies contentious points, such as whether a student can hold two votes on council (spoiler: they can't).

OM asks about people who sit on the misconduct tribunal. AH reads out the positions as listed in the circulated document.

OM asks whether this will give people a fair trial. AH says yes, and that it is better than the current system which is politicised. OM asks about people hacking into Facebooks and sharing private information. AH says she couldn't comment on a specific case, but that this isn't meant to be a criminal/court process: this is if people say don't perform their duties as required by the Guild itself.

NM asks when council ends. AH says 10pm – four consecutive hours there must be a vote.

AH says other than where outlined, it stays the same or is adjusted slightly. NM asks about suspending student societies, whether that was new. AH says that is new, as you can now suspend a club and not just disaffiliate.

OM says this may be a silly question: the UWA Student Guild is now going to be the Guild – what is happening? AH says that for consistency, as advised by UWA, they changed Guild to "Student Guild" in every situation. This was then changed in the regulations to be called "Guild" instead of "Student Guild" – for example "Ordinary Student Guild Councilor (OSGC)" would be ugly.

NM says she is not sure what is different between the Guild Misconduct Committee and the Guild Misconduct Tribunal. AH clarifies that the name is inconsequential. NM asks why the MD is included on the Committee. AH says that it professionalizes the system with staff.



TG says that the regulation was written by Jackson McDonald to depoliticize the process. TG says its not a whim, should be only important items, and that election matters still pass through the governance committee.

Hafiz moves to debate.

NM speaks against the motion, saying she hasn't had time to properly consider the changes. NM suggests voting to hear them next council.

JR acknowledges the work that Amy has done on the regulations, and says he is in favour of the harsher misconduct rules.

MA says that circulars sometimes struggle to reach quorum. We should take into account that we have already moved to hear the motion.

11 for and 10 against, we move this motion via circular.

- 12.2 The 107th Guild Council
 - 12.2.1 Acknowledges that there has been a marked deterioration in the quality of learning this semester due to online learning remaining the primary mode of delivery.
 - 12.2.2 Recognizes that the absence of the 'Ungraded Pass' system this semester has heightened concerns amongst students and may contribute to further deterioration in marks.
 - 12.2.3 Recognizes that without the Ungraded Pass vulnerable students remain disproportionately affected by this mode of learning.
 - 12.2.4 Calls on the university to reinstate the ungraded pass system and the ability for students to defer online exams on Exemplify.
 - 12.2.5
 - Moved: Omar Ali Macintyre
 - Seconded: Callum Lindsay

OM says this must be heard now, as there are many students concerned about the issue. It has attracted a lot of attention recently.
The motion to hear the motion passes unanimously.

OM says other Universities have accepted Ungraded Passes, and calls on council to come together and support students.

EM asks why this motion was not brought to her, Ed Council, or passed through any ordinary Guild procedures. CL says that he hopes the upcoming meeting with Academic board will bear fruit, and mentions that it is the petition which has brought this into focus. OM says that he would like to second the sentiment: saying we pass the motion as a collective, and that Emma and Bre should be doing the execution of what Council passes.

EM asks why there was no consultation. CL said it was urgent following the petition.

CP asks why there aren't ungraded passes going ahead as normal. OM says that the Uni didn't anticipate we would still be in this situation. CL says that having Guild support and the petition gives the Guild more bargaining power.



BS says that students not being able to defer exemplify exams would be a breach of academic policy.

OM moves an amendment to write:

- 1.1 The 107th Guild Council
 - 1.1.1 Acknowledges that there has been a marked deterioration in the quality of learning this semester due to online learning remaining the primary mode of delivery.
 - 1.1.2 Recognizes that the absence of the 'Ungraded Pass' system this semester has heightened concerns amongst students and may contribute to further deterioration in marks.
 - 1.1.3 Recognizes that without the Ungraded Pass vulnerable students remain disproportionately affected by this mode of learning.
 - 1.1.4 Acknowledges that the Ed Council President and Guild President are meeting the Academic Board and will update Students accordingly and council asap
- Moved: Omar Ali Macintyre
Seconded: Callum Lindsay

WN says that we found out Curtin was getting UP earlier in the year. Why not do this earlier when we knew earlier? If you are so adamant that this is done as a team, why did you leave it so late.

OM said he's not dismissing the work that Emma has done, and that he wasn't aware of the changing situation in regards to this area.

BS talks about the effect of ungraded passes in semester one, and the discussions around ungraded passes within the University. BS explains that University viewed the UP as the response to an unexpected event – she says that while they have advocated for the last semester, for these reasons it appears as an uphill battle with the University.

VV moves an amendment to recognise the efforts of current student representatives, and the timely nature of the petition.

OM says that this is a special moment, with momentum from students.

CL says we should take action that gives students a better result come exam times. CL and VV put up the following friendly amendments.

- 1.0 The 107th Guild Council
 - 1.1 Acknowledges that there has been a marked deterioration in the quality of learning this semester due to online learning remaining the primary mode of delivery.
 - 1.2 Recognizes that the absence of the 'Ungraded Pass' system this semester has heightened concerns amongst students and may contribute to further deterioration in marks.
 - 1.3 Recognizes that without the Ungraded Pass vulnerable students remain disproportionately affected by this mode of learning.
 - 1.4 Acknowledges that students have expressed through a petition three days ago and in general that 'Ungraded Pass' could be beneficial during these difficult circumstances through either short or long term solutions



- 1.5 Acknowledges that the Ed Council President and Guild President are meeting the Academic Board and will update students accordingly and council asap

Moved: Omar Ali Macintyre

Seconded: Callum Lindsay

NM says ungraded passes are good and everyone agrees, we should just move this and focus on the student movement.

AH moves to a vote.

Passes unanimously.

Council is now going to discuss directors reports, which were just tabled earlier and not accepted. TG is now in the Council room and calls for any questions about the Regulations. He invites people to raise questions – call, email, whatever. He says that substantively there are no differences.

We have the precinct underway, and hopefully before the next council there will be updated plans. TG says things are going quite well due to jobkeeper. Expenditure is also quite neat and tidy, and we are entering into preparing next years budget.

OM says is there an update on the lighting on campus audit, and how much that will cost? AH rules that is not appropriate question.

OM asks a question about rent abatements. TG says this is commercial in confidence.

TG says he is receiving input from Mary through the Election Culture Working Group in order to improve the election system for next year.

A unanimous vote to accept Guild Directors reports.

1.0 GENERAL BUSINESS

BS says do your annual reports if not yet – it is very late.

AH says the legend of 107 is banked up and will be organised for next month.

OM asks who the Councillor of the month is.

CP says good luck for exams.

2.0 CLOSE AND NEXT MEETING

The next meeting will be held 25 November at 6:00 PM. Please contact the Guild General Secretary (secretary@guild.uwa.edu.au) with any apologies or proxies. If unable to attend, please advise which dates you are available to reschedule if a quorum cannot be met.