



1.0 WELCOME AND OPENING

1.1 Attendance

Conrad Hogg, Adhish Kastha, Lincoln Aspinall, Taco Shiraishi, Nitin Narula, Bre Shanahan, Vin Kalim, Clarice Antero, Nisa Shahrin, Daniel Kuzich, Alexander Tan, Joseph Chan, Raaghav Raj, Saleem Al Odeh, Callum Lindsay, Neve Staltari, Ben Perry, Bradan Sonnendecker, Shahid Khan, Scott Harney, Patricia Paguio, Mike Anderson, Lauren Espinoza, Luke Thomas, Saskia Mason, Martha McKinley and Brianne Yarran.

1.2 Apologies

Fang Ke, Jade Wu and Olivia Bartlett.

1.3 Proxies

None.

1.4 Observers

Sophie Minissale and Nicole McEwen.

1.5 Absent

None.

2.0 DECLARATION OF POTENTIAL OR PERCEIVED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

3.0 CONFIRMATION OF PREVIOUS MINUTES

Nitin says that in 14.1 June minutes, he is listed as speaking for an amendment where he was in fact speaking against it.

Adhish notes the change and confirms the changes.

Callum says that in 11.1 from the May minutes, he believes that himself and Nitin spoke further on the matter than what was originally recorded.

Adhish responds saying that he did have a look into this earlier in the year, however did not believe that it would have aligned with the equivalent standard in which the minutes have been written for everyone else throughout the term. He adds that if however, the two of them do feel strongly about this then he is happy to meet and discuss with it further.

Callum responds asking if himself and Nitin could organise a meeting with Adhish to resolve the situation.

Adhish responds saying that he agrees to sit down with both of them, but notes that he will not be writing anything in the minutes that was not explicitly said in the meeting.

Neve says that in the January minutes published on the website, she was listed as voting for the motion when in fact she did not vote.



Adhish says that the changes were already made in the minutes and passed by Guild Council, however the updated minutes have just yet not been published to the website.

Conrad asks Neve to write the specific changes and concerns in an email to Adhish for it to be changed on the website.

Bradán asks what decision making process is used when deciding which questions are to be included in the minutes.

Adhish responds saying that he uses his discretion to determine which questions and points are substantially different to what has already been asked or said. Adhish adds saying that if anything is repeated he chooses to omit that from the recording as he believes it is an inefficient use of time for not only himself but also those actually wanting to read the minutes to receive an understanding of what took place in the meeting.

Callum says that himself, Bradán and Nitin have a general consensus that when moving forward every question is to be included. He adds that if Adhish is considering to exclude anything on the grounds of it being substantially similar to previous points, that he run it past them for approval.

Conrad responds saying that method is not how the minutes are written in any professional organisation.

Adhish responds to Callum saying that he is not too comfortable doing that for a couple of reasons. He continues saying that if he has to get approval from everyone when making edits to the minutes, it will take him approximately 3-5 hours per hour of the meeting to transcribe. He says that this will not be the most effective use of his time and quite frankly is an unrealistic expectation. Adhish adds that if these are strongly desired expectations for the General Secretary role, he encourages whoever is actually elected into the position to maintain a standard that they believe in consistently.

Conrad responds to Callum saying that the way minutes work is that the General Secretary writes the minutes and if there are any issues with it you are to bring it to their attention for it to be amended. Conrad restates that this is the procedure that has been followed and will continue to be followed.

Patricia adds saying that if people have questions regarding the minutes, that is the reason we have item 3 on the agenda every Guild Council Meeting and that it is every councillor's responsibility to read them before they are passed and that if you are not happy with it then you should not be accepting them. It is your own fault for accepting minutes that you have not read.

Confirmation of the two March minutes and the June minutes put to Guild Council.

Confirmed.

4.0 BUSINESS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES

None.



5.0 BUSINESS COMPLETED VIA CIRCULAR

None.

6.0 BUSINESS FROM THE EXECUTIVE

None.

7.0 DIRECTORS' REPORTS

- 7.1 Managing Director.
As tabled.

Tony says that the Refectory is finally up and running after receiving the City of Perth Compliance and DA over the last few weeks. Tony says that all of the outlets are open except the Japanese Sushi outlet which is set to open very shortly. Tony strongly recommends everyone councillors to try all of the outlets. Tony says that next week we have the Audit & Risk Committee meeting which will be discussing a lot of the risks associated with the resignation of the Vice Chancellor and therefore also the selection of the next one too. Tony also notes that the Guild election process begins next week. Tony also highlights the Student Leader's Summit that will be hosted on Friday.

- 7.2 Commercial Director.
As tabled.

- 7.3 Student Services Director.
As tabled.

- 7.4 Finance Director.
As tabled.

Mutya says that if there are any questions to raise before proceeding with the accounts. She says for income wise the catering properties have done really well after the recognition of a lot of previously earned income. Mutya says for expenditures the Guild Council expenses was higher than expected which primarily due to timing differences with regards to CAPA Conference being budgeted for slightly earlier in the year. Mutya notes that for the year to date number we have an income of \$250,000 as opposed to a budgeted loss of \$171,000. Mutya notes that there is an error with the formula where it shows a negative value for club grants.

Adhish moves a procedural motion to move into an "in-camera" discussion.
Motion carries.

IN-CAMERA DISCUSSION

Adhish moves a procedural motion to move out of an "in-camera" discussion. Motion carries.

Reports accepted.



8.0 REPORTS

- 8.1 President.
As read.

Conrad highlights the student data breach that has occurred recently and of what has been lost. He says that the Guild was informed very shortly after the occurrence.

- 8.2 General Secretary.
As tabled.

- 8.3 Vice President.
As tabled.

- 8.4 Chair.
As tabled.

- 8.5 Education Council President.
As read.

Lincoln highlights the NDA occurring on 9th August.

- 8.6 Societies Council President.
As read.

- 8.7 Public Affairs Council President.
As tabled.

- 8.8 Welfare Officer.
No report.

- 8.9 Sports Officer.
As tabled.

- 8.10 Women's Officer.
As tabled.

Bre highlights the NDA for another sexual assault survey at UWA.

- 8.11 Environment Officer.
As tabled.

- 8.12 Post Graduate Students' Association President.
As tabled.

- 8.13 Pride Officer.
As tabled.



- 8.14 International Students' Department Officer.
As tabled.
- 8.15 Residential Students' Department President.
As tabled.
- 8.16 Access Officer.
As tabled.
- 8.17 WASAC Chair.
As tabled.

Reports Accepted.

9.0 QUESTION TIME

None.

10.0 MOTIONS ON NOTICE (OPERATIONS)

- 10.1 The Guild Council approves the 2019 Mid-Year Preliminary Budget Deficit-Surplus figure of \$307,641 (deficit), as recommended by the Strategic Resources Committee
Moved: Adhish Kastha; Seconded: Conrad Hogg.

Adhish says that this motion should be relatively straight forward as everyone has seen the budget as attached before. Adhish also formally thanks Mutya and the rest of her team for putting together the budgeted accounts despite many departments coming in with many last minute desired changes.

Conrad notes that we are budgeting a deficit however this is the worst case scenario and that there are many income streams that we are hoping to realise later in the year that have not been accounted for in the budget.

Mutya says that there are a couple of weeks there that expense have been budgeted and that we may or may not use it as it is contingent on many factors. Mutya also adds the income figures are also a very conservative estimate.

Tony adds that for a student non-for-profit organisation the UWA Student Guild is doing exceptionally well.

Motion passes.

For: Conrad Hogg, Adhish Kastha, Lincoln Aspinall, Taco Shiraishi, Nitin Narula, Bre Shanahan, Nisa Shahrin, Alexander Tan, Joseph Chan, Raaghav Raj, Saleem Al Odeh, Callum Lindsay, Neve Staltari, Ben Perry, Bradan Sonnendecker, Shahid Khan, Patricia Paguio and Brianne Yarran.

Against: None.

Abstain: Scott Harney.



The Guild Council approves the line items in the 2019 Mid-Year Preliminary Budget pack, as recommended by the Strategic Resources Committee.
Moved Adhish Kastha; Seconded: Conrad Hogg.

Adhish says that this motion is effectively summarised similarly as above.

Conrad says that Adhish has made adjustments as per requests and based on the expenditure to date from departments.

Motion passes.

For: Conrad Hogg, Adhish Kastha, Lincoln Aspinall, Taco Shiraishi, Nitin Narula, Bre Shanahan, Nisa Shahrin, Alexander Tan, Joseph Chan, Raaghav Raj, Saleem Al Odeh, Callum Lindsay, Neve Staltari, Ben Perry, Bradan Sonnendecker, Shahid Khan, Patricia Paguio and Brianne Yarran.

Against: None.

Abstain: Scott Harney.

- 10.2 The 106th Guild Council directs management to investigate tendering for the café in the E-ZONE Building.
Moved: Conrad Hogg; Seconded: Adhish Kastha.

Conrad makes an amendment to change the wording of the motion to read “directs management to investigate tendering”.

Conrad says that the new E-ZONE Building has completed phase 1 and is finally open. He says that phase 2 is set to be completed at the beginning of next year and that it comes with new café locations that the Guild may want to consider. Conrad proposes that the management team look towards investigating this tendering opportunity and put together a submission to go in with it,

Adhish echoes Conrad’s words.

Shahid asks where the current state of tendering is at.

Conrad says the tendering process is just opening up now and we are wanting to be involved in that process.

Motion passes unanimously.

10.3 The UWA Student Guild:

10.3.1 Reaffirms its concern for the deterioration of the climate, and the need for immediate action.

10.3.2 Endorses the Uni Student Climate Strike on 9th August, and its demands:

10.3.2.1 No New Coal – Stop Adani.

10.3.2.2 100% Renewable Energy by 2025.

10.3.2.3 Sustainable Jobs for a Green Economy.

10.3.2.4 No Nuclear Energy.

10.3.2.5 No Fracking in WA.

10.3.3 Supports a just transition for people working in carbonised industries as Australia transitions to a decarbonised economy.

Moved: Clarice Antero; Seconded: Conrad Hogg.

Clarice says that the NUS has called an NDA on 9th August, calling upon university students to protest the government's inaction against climate change. Clarice encourages everyone to attend this NDA next week.

Conrad says this is a very important issue and that this will be a future that we will be inheriting in the near future. Conrad explains that the just transition is about retraining employees in those carbonised industries to slowly transition into decarbonised industries without remaining unemployed for large periods of time.

Brad asks what the difference is between a green economy and a decarbonised economy.

Conrad responds saying that a green economy is generally a more environmentally sustainable economy whilst a decarbonised economy is referring to more of the transition away from fossil fuels.

Ben asks if the last part of the motion has just been attached onto the original NUS demands.

Conrad responds saying that 10.3.3 has been added in from the perspective of the UWA Student Guild as we believe that employees should be given the opportunity to be retrained into new industries rather than forced into unemployment all of a sudden.

Ben asks why is the just transition is not part of the NUS's demands.

Conrad responds saying that it has to do with a theory of change first and then working on the policy to achieve that goal.

Scott amends the motion to include 10.3.2.5 "No fracking in WA".

Dan asks what the stance is regarding nuclear energy.

Conrad responds saying that uranium is yet not a 100% renewable energy source and as such fits within the demands.

Nitin asks how we as a Guild are planning to reduce our carbon impact.



Conrad responds that saying that the University in its strategic plan have committed to an energy neutral campus by 2025. He adds that we also have a sustainability plan that was endorsed by the 105th Guild Council last year.

Motion passes.

For: Conrad Hogg, Adhish Kastha, Lincoln Aspinall, Taco Shiraishi, Nitin Narula, Bre Shanahan, Nisa Shahrin, Alexander Tan, Joseph Chan, Raaghav Raj, Saleem Al Odeh, Callum Lindsay, Ben Perry, Shahid Khan, Patricia Paguio and Brianne Yarran.

Against: None.

Abstain: Neve Staltari and Bradan Sonnendecker.

11.0 MOTIONS ON NOTICE (REPRESENTATION)

11.1 The 106th UWA Student Guild:

11.1.1 Acknowledges the 2018 College Row Cultural Review and commits to working with the University and Colleges in actioning the reports recommendation.

11.1.2 Recognises the insufficiency of mental health and support services accessible to residents across College Row, particularly surrounding disclosures of sexual harassment and sexual assault.

11.1.3 Recognises the need for increased transparency and consistency surrounding disclosure policies, and the need for independent and specialised investigation of complaints.

11.1.4 Commits to running in-person and anonymous consultation with residents and professionals across College Row, under the direction of Student Assist, to produce a submission to the University health services review.

Moved: Luke Thomas; Seconded: Bre Shanahan.

Luke says that this motion commits the Guild to working with the University in sight of the 2018 College Row Cultural Review. He adds saying that the next part also acknowledges the lack of professional mental health and support services available at residential colleges.

Bre says that there is a need for improvements regarding disclosure policies as well as consultation with residents and professionals throughout College Row.

Ben speaks for the motion saying that he was at Trinity and that when professionals were brought into the college it did create a lot of positive impact for students.

Conrad speaks for the motion and commends Bre and Luke on their work.

Scott waives his speaking rights to Nicole.

Nicole speaks for the motion saying that she believes that particularly around sexual assault, the colleges breed this culture all around the country. Nicole says that she believes the colleges should be abolished as they stand and instead the University should provide cheap accommodation for students.

Luke speaks for saying that this motion addresses many of the concerns that Nicole brought up.

Motion passes.

For: Conrad Hogg, Adhish Kastha, Lincoln Aspinall, Taco Shiraishi, Nitin Narula, Bre Shanahan, Nisa Shahrin, Alexander Tan, Joseph Chan, Raaghav Raj, Saleem Al Odeh, Callum Lindsay, Neve Staltari, Ben Perry, Bradan Sonnendecker, Shahid Khan, Patricia Paguio and Brianne Yarran.

Against: None.

Abstain: Scott Harney.

11.2 The 106th Guild Council:

- 11.2.1 Recognises the Ramsay Centre for Western Civilisation as a right-wing corporate think tank that defends racism and colonialism and gives a platform to homophobic and transphobic speakers.
- 11.2.2 Recognises that the Ramsay Centre poses a threat to academic freedom by interfering with university staff's course material and research.
- 11.2.3 Makes a media release stating its opposition to the Ramsay Centre, and any courses affiliated to it, at UWA.
- 11.2.4 Calls on the University to reject any deals with the Ramsay Centre at UWA.
- 11.2.5 Directs the Guild executive to call a special general meeting of the Guild on this issue if the university is found to be further investigating Ramsay-based courses at UWA.

Moved: Scott Harney; Seconded: Bre Shanahan.

Bre amends the motion to read 11.2.1 "Recognises the Ramsay Centre for Western Civilisation as a right-wing corporate think tank that defends racism and colonialism, and gives a platform to homophobic and transphobic speakers.", 11.2.2 "Recognises that the Ramsay Centre poses a threat to academic freedom by interfering with university staff's course material and research.", 11.2.4 "Calls on the University to reject any deals with the Ramsay Centre at UWA." and 11.2.5 "Directs the Guild executive to call a special general meeting of the Guild on this issue if the university is found to be further investigating Ramsay-based courses at UWA."

Scott says the Ramsay Centre for Western Civilisation is an example of the corporatisation of higher education. Scott says that even former Liberal MP Tony Abbot said that the Ramsay Centre for Western Civilisation is not just talking about western civilisation but in fact advocating in favour of western civilisation. Scott says that in essence this is white washing the history of colonialism, racism and other forms of discrimination.

Bre says that the Ramsay Centre for Western Civilisation has self-identified as a right-wing organisation so this should not be a controversial discussion point. Bre says that racism, sexism and general non-progressive values will come along with this right-wing organisation if brought onto campus. Bre also says that the centre can choose to pull funding from higher education if they deem the course to not be "pro west" enough for their likings.



Bradán asks if new information has come to light regarding the resolution of this issue.

Bre responds saying that after consultation with academics and students which suggested that it is something they are considering.

Bradán asks when this consultation took place.

Conrad responds saying that as Bradán is a member of academic board, he was in fact invited to that consultation but forgot or chose not to attend. Conrad says that the consultation was held about a few weeks ago.

Scott responds to Bradán saying that the consultation was on 3rd July.

Patricia responds to Bradán saying that it was held on a Wednesday.

Conrad speaks for saying that this centre is a very deliberate way to challenge the way the university exist and teach a very certain version of history rather than opening a new and unique opportunity to learn. Conrad says that it is a threat of academic freedom.

Neve speaks against saying because she believes there is not enough information as to what the centre will entail and believes the UWA Student Guild should not be a proactive organisation and specifically not with regards to threats to our education.

Mike speaks for saying that if we wanted students to learn about western civilisation that white washes history we should also remember that we cut teaching Medieval Early Modern studies and European studies. Mike responds to Neve saying that he believes the Guild should be proactive in nature and should in fact be acting on concerns before it becomes an issue.

Lincoln speaks for saying that Neve's comment was very ignorant and that if she wants to vote against the motion to at least be honest about it and admit that she is conservative and is in favour of bringing a racist organisation on to campus. Lincoln says that Neve should not lie and say that she is not receiving enough information regarding the centre as Conrad, Bre, Mike, Scott and himself have all given key examples of what the centre is attempting to and has accomplished. Lincoln responds to Neve saying that there is plenty of information regarding the Ramsay Centre for Western Civilisation available to her so if she wants to vote against the motion she should admit she is a conservative that is comfortable with the presence of a racist organisation such as the Ramsay Centre for Western Civilisation on campus. Lincoln adds that students and academics across the country have taken a stance against the centre and that it is disappointing to know that Neve is against being proactive against a huge threat to our education.

Scott speaks for saying that Neve should be honest about being a liberal and supporting the cuts to higher education and not caring about the quality of education at university nor what people may be forced to study at university.

Bre speaks for the motion saying that this motion should not be a controversial one to adopt as the Guild has already taken stances on being anti-racist, anti-sexist and a generally progressive organisation.

Adhish speaks for saying that even the Chair of Academic Board was not in favour of the Ramsay Centre for Western Civilisation.

Motion passes.

For: Conrad Hogg, Adhish Kस्था, Lincoln Aspinall, Taco Shiraishi, Bre Shanahan, Nisa Shahrin, Alexander Tan, Joseph Chan, Raaghav Raj, Saleem Al Odeh, Callum Lindsay, Ben Perry, Shahid Khan, Patricia Paguio and Brianne Yarran.

Against: Neve Staltari.

Abstain: Nitin Narula and Bradan Sonnendecker.

11.3 The 106th Guild Council:

11.3.1 Opposes all legislation exempting religious organisations from anti-discrimination laws on the basis of sexuality and/or gender identity (i.e. ‘Religious Exemptions’).

11.3.2 Recognises that Religious Exemptions enshrine homophobia and transphobia in the law, and in effect make LGBTI+ people second class citizens by limiting their access to employment and education opportunities.

11.3.3 Calls on Labor and the Coalition to block the Religious Discrimination Act, and to immediately remove any other anti-discriminatory exemptions.

11.3.4 Commits to fighting the Liberal government’s socially conservative agenda. This entails:

11.3.4.1 Making press releases, alongside the UWA Pride Department, opposing the bill.

11.3.4.2 Working with the NTEU to support LGBTI+ teachers.

11.3.4.3 Endorsing and promoting the “Rally for Freedom From Bigotry, Homophobia, and Transphobia” and “Protest to Oppose Governments Plans to Undermine LGBTI+ Rights” on August 24.

Moved: Scott Harney; Seconded: Saskia Mason.

Conrad amends the motion 11.3.2 and 11.3.4.2 to read “LGBTI+”.

Conrad amends the motion 11.3.4.3 to read “and “Protest to Oppose Governments Plans to Undermine LGBTI+ Rights” on August 24”.

Scott says that he believes that this issue is very important as the rights of the LGBTI+ people have been undermined by the government in a very dishonest way. Scott says that the only way we can win this battle against discrimination is the same way we won the plebiscite vote for marriage equality and that is through mass mobilisation of people.

Saskia says that people may believe that religious discrimination is truly being protected by these policies, however she says that these policies are in fact inextricably tied to LGBTI+ discrimination.



Conrad speaks for the motion and also believes this is a very important motion to consider as a Guild Council who are representing students at UWA.

Motion passes.

For: Conrad Hogg, Adhish Kastha, Lincoln Aspinall, Taco Shiraishi, Bre Shanahan, Nisa Shahrin, Alexander Tan, Joseph Chan, Raaghav Raj, Callum Lindsay, Ben Perry, Patricia Paguio and Brianne Yarran.

Against: None.

Abstain: Nitin Narula, Saleem Al Odeh, Neve Staltari, Bradan Sonnendecker and Shahid Khan.

12.0 GENERAL BUSINESS

12.1 Student Leaders' Summit.

Conrad says that this will be run on Friday and to buy tickets before they sell out very shortly.

13.0 CLOSE AND NEXT MEETING

Next meeting will be held Wednesday 25th September 2019 at 6:00 PM. Please contact the Guild General Secretary (secretary@guild.uwa.edu.au) with any apologies or proxies. If unable to attend, please advise which dates you are available to reschedule if a quorum cannot be met.