



1.0 WELCOME AND OPENING

Owen welcomed all Councillors, Directors and observers and proxies and acknowledged that UWA is situated on Nyoongar land and paid his respects to elders past and present, and acknowledged the Nyoongar people remain the spiritual and cultural custodians of their land and that they continue to practice their beliefs, languages, values and knowledge.

1.1 Attendance

Tom Henderson (Guild President), Cam Fitzgerald (Vice-President), Sam Shipley (Secretary), Daniel Jo (Treasurer), Lizzy O'Shea (Ed Council President), Honny Palayukan (PAC President), Maddie Mulholland (SOC President), Bec Doyle (Women's Officer), Max Riley (Welfare Officer), Michael Morrissey (Guild Sports Representative), Kenneth Woo (ISS & OGC), Owen Myles (Chair & OGC), Alex Bennet (OGC), Merredith Cully (OGC), Richie Wu (OGC), Jonathan Lo (OGC), Aiden Depiazzi (OGC), Millie Dacre (OGC), Francois Schiefler (OGC), Laura Clappinson (Queer Officer), David Raithel (PSA President).

1.2 Apologies

Rida Ahmed (OGC), Rebecca Lawrence (OGC), Bryn Howells (Environment Officer), Avory Allen (Queer Officer).

1.3 Proxies

Sam Shenton (for Rida Ahmed), Daniel Bell (for Rebecca Lawrence), Oscar Morlet (for when Michael Morrissey leaves) Natalia Verne (for Avory Allen).

1.4 Observers

Aden Date (Guild Volunteering Manager).

Owen moved to accept all attendances and proxies. Attendances and proxies accepted.

2.0 DECLARATIONS OF POTENTIAL OR PERCEIVED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

3.0 CONFIRMATION OF PREVIOUS MINUTES

Sam said the November 2013 minutes had been circulated and approved. All minutes for 2013 have now been finalized.

4.0 BUSINESS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES

4.1 Campus Representatives

At the last Guild Council meeting, student representatives were told that they might nominate for informal “Campus Representative” positions. The campuses have been assigned as follows:

- Albany: Lizzy O’Shea and Cam Fitzgerald.
- QEII (Med/Dent): Jonathan Lo.
- Nedlands (ALVA/Ed): Michael Morrissey and Rida Ahmed.
- RCS (Rural Placement Medical Students): Merredith Cully.

Aiden asked how these reps had been selected. Sam said they had called for interest twice – once at the meeting and once on the Facebook page and these are the individuals who put their names forward. Where there were two nominations for a position they had decided to split the role.

5.0 DIRECTORS’ REPORTS

5.1 Managing Director’s Report

Wayne said regarding the Guild Central Hub Project, the Strategic Resources Committee have activated the concept feasibility phase for Part B. He said the Guild Central Hub Project is in four parts – Parts A to D, with A and B being the highest priority. We have already commenced Part A, with the first project design group meeting earlier that day. Part B has been activated and this is a project which includes several outcomes for refurbishing Guild Village toilets, and creating a new connection from our designated events zone being the Refectory courtyard, which will not only service our events but also service our functions zone in the Refectory as well.

He said that Part B had been scoped for some time and to some extent has been in both Master Plans and is now being accelerated to see if we can gain the benefits of running Part A and Part B concurrently. There are a whole series of benefits we could obtain. We will be pressing ahead to drive it and with significant University funding contribution. At this point the best way to get to that point is to develop the project, develop the costs and then go with a project which is convincing to the university and make our case.

He said the reviews of the Tavern and the Bookshop is forecast in the budget. There is a budget provision to conduct these reviews. We did the Catering Review in 2013 and the Bookshop and Tavern Reviews will be done in 2014, so over the two year period we will have conducted operational and structural reviews of all our main retail services. It will be conducted similar to the catering review that involves the first step of conducting a series of feedback forums and we will use the general manager of one of our national associations to facilitate that, and then write a report, which establishes both that feedback and independent feedback. He will give us a report commenting on where we stand on a national comparison basis, for both Bookshop and Tavern services. This general manager has a good handle on campus services and has visited and is familiar with almost every major campus in Australia, so it will be a good context. The report will be just one input into the review. The rest of the review entails engaging an operational style consultant and conducting a series of other analysis.

Regarding the policy compilation, these are policies that mainly provide guidance to staff so we have a whole section of policy which is student rep generated and can guide parts of the organisation, but this is mainly guidance to the staff team. When drafting the policies, we allow staff to have a comment and we provide that then to Executive Management Committee. The first four policies will be delivered to them on Monday, they will review it, and Wayne will let Council know which of the four policies we’re cutting out. Council can have a look and make comments; it will be left open for a few weeks. After that process and feedback, the policies will be enacted. This will provide far better formalised guidance for our students and staff to what we have at the moment.

Regarding Finance and Audit Wayne said his report outlines where we are at with the bank reconciliation issue we had. He said that since writing his report there has been some further progress. There is still work to do. This is delaying our audit process, but our commitment to the university is to have the audit done by the end of August. We would usually have it done by May but this year, but it will probably be about one month late. He said he is confident we will meet our statutory obligations and meet our usual benchmarks. He said they have established a very clear work plan from March through to November for the finance team and he was confident that everything would be up to speed.

Regarding Boost and Subway, he said there has been good progress made over the last month.

Aiden asked regarding the Bookshop and Tavern Review, would there be a consultant engaged to go through the process?

Wayne said referring back to the Catering Review last year, we used the national report and then used operational consultants, i.e. someone who is familiar with the member-based organisations and is fairly high profile in the catering marketplace. He said there would be a level of analysis if we were talking about figures and commercial aspects of the business, by those operational consultants.

Aiden asked would there be an opportunity for someone not to just compare our bookshop to another student run bookshop at another location, but how successful the bookshop is on a retail basis?

Wayne said they are looking at different models and are likely to engage someone who is familiar with campus bookshops, but it is something we would consider. He said we would look at how close we would compare it to a commercial operation, such as a bookshop in the city.

Francois asked regarding volunteering, how was the continuing growth of volunteering activity levels measured?

Owen moved a procedural motion to give Aden Date speaking rights. Motion passed.

Aden said there are a few ways that Guild Volunteering has been tracking data. Over the last 18 months since they have been using Career Hub, they can track click-throughs, event views and job listings on the website. With events that have a booking system, they can see directly how many people apply and since they have had transcript recognition of volunteering, they have had a number of hours come through that system as well.

Aiden asked at this stage, can there be more detail given about the position with the bank reconciliation issue.

Wayne said we have a long-term staff member who had successfully completed that task for many years however this accounting process, which is usually done, has not been done properly this year. Aiden asked what precisely was the issue? Wayne said any other issues over the last few years were easily covered, but this one is not.

Tom said he thinks the issue is that the paper trail wasn't created as it was being done, so now we have to go back and follow those up.

Wayne said we are in a situation where we could globally reconcile quite quickly, but we don't want to do that and our accountant doesn't want to do that. We want to try to get down as close as we possibly can to an informed reconciliation and that is the process they are going through currently. He apologised to Council for the delay but he thinks it is best to have the delay rather than to have some sort of global reconciliation, where we would have high levels of uncertainty. This is a situation we can't avoid at this point in time.

Aiden asked what were Subway's agreed rental income and expense terms?

Wayne said these are the terms that the Strategic Resources Committee agreed on and arranged for the President and himself to go and secure a revised deal with, and the terms are within that range. He said those details will come to Council with the draft heads of agreement. The intention at the moment is to bring all those things together with an informed heads of agreement, from all parties.

Aiden asked whether “agreed” means agreed by the Guild or by Subway?

Wayne said these are lead up negotiations where we get to a heads of agreement. Both parties have a bail out and until you get a signed lease, along that journey you can bail. We have a heads of agreement, they wrote to us, we agreed. But we have to get beyond that to get to a contract that will lock it away. Strategic Resources Committee and Council will need to approve the deal before we sign the lease.

Tom said it was a continuing conversation between Subway and the Guild.

5.2 Finance Report

Wayne said that the first two months of the year were never the best indicators of trading, but by the end of March we would have a much better idea of how we are tracking.

He said overall, the flavour of 2014 to date is that commercial revenues streams have steadied or improved and we are tracking so far ahead of budget. With the overhead total expenditure, again there is a lot going on and there are quite a few changes and there will be some timing differences in the figures we see here to date. Overall, tracking ahead of budget. Capital expenditure is also tracking ahead of budget.

Alex said that there is considerable variance in the volunteering centre line item and asked whether it was because of a timing difference? Wayne said this is due to a timing variance, as we have budgeted for the UWA Grant money coming in. Effectively reversing the timing difference, we are actually ahead of budget on volunteering.

5.3 Catering Director’s Report

Ken said that the first two months were preparation months. He said that in broad terms, we are about \$50,000 ahead of budget after meeting all the fixed overheads. In the catering operations, we have done some product changes in the Ref, we have introduced new items like Red Spoon frozen yoghurt, burritos and slushies. In the other cafés we have introduced more hot food products. The mobile food truck vendors are also back on campus and this year we have an additional vendor 'Jumplings' who sell dumplings, who started at Curtin, came to UWA and now do not want to return to Curtin!

He said the tavern refurbishment is finished and they have introduced some new items such as the jugs of Pimms and new spirits, which will be displayed on the counter by Monday.

He said the biggest breakthrough we had was the introduction of cash-out facilities at the Tav.

Francois asked how the cash out facilities would work?

Ken said to get cash out you have to go to a member of the bar staff and ask to withdraw cash, and the person will withdraw and hand over the money. He said the reason there would not be an EFTPOS machine at each till was that it would reduce the speed at which we could do the EFTPOS transaction, as the tills do not automatically connect to the EFTPOS system.

Francois asked about fees on withdrawals. Ken said it was a win for the students, as they will not get charged a \$2.50 on withdrawals from an independent ATM.

Francois asked what is the charge for the machine?

Ken said it is \$33 per month and per transaction, 22c.

Francois asked is there any forecast on how many transactions we would expect to have using this service?

Ken said they expect to do about 150 cash out transactions per week.

Aiden asked whether investing in new point of sale technology that has in-built EFTPOS would be something to look at?

Ken said that we are looking at it, and said the cost would be \$250,000 to \$350,000 for full EFTPOS point of sale integration with the latest technologies, across all Guild Service Centres.

Max asked whether any consideration been given of a hybrid model where you only equip one of the tills at the tavern with one of the manual EFTPOS machines?

Ken said that the Tavern was closed four months of the year, and that there would be a significant monthly fee, even if the EFTPOS machine were not being used.

5.4 Director of Student and Corporate Services Report

Tony said it has been a very busy period generally with the various activities, which have been happening. One of the major events was St Patrick's Day, which went down very well and there is a bit of a theme of activities being created in the tavern and is something they are going to investigate further.

The website is still moving ahead. The team generally has a lot of projects at the moment. They are going through a fairly extensive process with discussion papers out with various infrastructure providers and helpers including the university. They are now looking at third parties to get a cost comparison and see what may be available.

The main thing for the Council to be aware of at the moment is that they do have a small realignment of responsibilities happening, where Student and Corporate Services are moving away from a Memberships & Communications theme and creating a Creative& Commercial unit, basically splitting a few roles and responsibilities. This means that the marketing and sponsorship elements (the commercial elements) will be moving under Chloe Jackson and Alex's area will become more focused on creative websites and social media, which is something they are going to arc up very significantly over the next couple of months.

He said these changes are to realign where they are and make sure each department is operating more effectively. He said he is expecting some very creative thinking.

He said on the strategy side the marketing strategy is being put together now. They are formulating Guild marketing strategy and this should hopefully be presented within a few months.

Tom moved a procedural motion to move to Item 8.0. Motion passed. Normal procedure of meeting then resumed.

6.0 REPORTS

6.1 Guild President

Tom said the Academic Board went through and finally approved SURF results for units being accessible to students. It is not yet clear whether they will publish previous SURF results, or whether it is going to be from this semester onwards, but that will be decided in the coming weeks. That should be ready for Semester 2, so when students choose units for Semester 2, they should be able to access the SURF results for those units.

He said he has been on ABC Radio twice. Once was in relation to the ABC Youth Alcohol Forum, which looked at the focus of the Guild and alcohol, in regards to events. He said it was nice to be involved in the discussion.

The use of Scantech machines at some of the major events held on campus has been very successful.

There have been no issues and the University, security and most of the clubs seem quite happy with it. He said the Guild is currently developing a policy on the use of Scantechs at events, and we are in discussions with the creators/developers of that system, so that we may sort out a financial agreement.

He said they had the first Strategic Information Technology and Information Management Committee (SITIMC) meeting of the year. He said that there is not a huge amount of money in UWA's capital budget at the moment and this meeting was used to begin looking at how the limited funds will be used. He said they would be looking to student groups to develop that further.

He said another camp was approved - the Enactus Empower Camp, which went down very well.

Volunteering WA was here to celebrate the opening of the Guild Volunteering Hub, which he had the opportunity to speak at.

He said the Guild XI defeated the VC's XI in the annual cricket match and thanked the events team for their help in the organisation of the event.

6.2 Vice-President

Report as tabled.

6.3 Secretary

Report as tabled. Sam said PROSH really need marshals and asked that anyone who could help out at PROSH could please contact Alex and the PROSH directors. He said that marshals would require marshal training and first aid training.

6.4 Treasurer

Report as tabled.

6.5 Education Council President

Report as tabled. Lizzy said the NDA was held earlier in the day and that an EAN event was held the previous day. She said it went relatively well and that they got a bus of people down to the rally. She said there were a lot of police there, but everyone did as they were asked and there were no issues.

6.6 Public Affairs Council President

Report as tabled. Honny said that Social Justice Week is on next week. She said that there are a lot of night time events, similar to last year. She said the 'Thrift Shop Party' was going to be held on Thursday night in the Tav, with all proceeds are going to a local youth shelter. She said she would appreciate it if people got involved and went along to the events.

6.7 Societies Council President

Report as tabled.

6.8 Women's Department

Report as tabled.

6.9 Welfare Department

Report as tabled.

6.10 Environment Department

Report as tabled.

6.11 International Student Services

Report as tabled.

6.12 Queer Department

Report as tabled.

6.13 Chair/Governance Committee

Owen moved the chair to Cam.

Owen said the Statute Book is now up to date and in accordance with our regulations for the first time in a few decades. The Governance Committee is opening up submissions about changes to the election regulations. If you would like to make a submission on what you would like changed please send it to Owen's email. He will issue an email with a submission deadline once the next Governance meeting has been organized.

The Chair was moved back to Owen.

7.0 QUESTION TIME

Aiden asked Lizzy how many students went to the rally?

Lizzy said there were about 15-20 students on the bus, but there were a heap of other students that went down to the rally earlier on their own. Lizzy said that it was an improvement on the last time, with a decent amount of people in the city. She said they received a large number of sign-ups to the EAN mailing list for those who could not go on the day. She said that no complaints were made and that nobody was arrested. Lizzy said that out of the EAN budget they paid for one bus, which we got for a discounted price. The only other expense was the sausage sizzle, which was held on Oak Lawn, which was free for all students.

Aiden said that he thought it was great that NUS had changed their course of direction with rallies.

Honny said it was excellent to see how many students attended the barbecue and it was nice to see a lot of new faces engaging with the Guild.

8.0 MOTIONS ON NOTICE

8.1 That all organisations with a focus on international volunteering (Voluntourism Organisations) must receive the approval of Guild Volunteering's Manager before using any of the Guild's resources, including the use of grounds, distributing flyers/posters, and booking rooms through Guild Catering.

- a. Voluntourism Organisations include, but are not limited to: Growth International Experience (GIVE), Reach Out Volunteers (RO), Volunteer Eco Students Abroad (VESA), and International Student Volunteers (ISV).
- b. AIESEC UWA is exempt from this.

Moved: Tom Henderson; Seconded: Sam Shipley.

Sam moved a procedural motion to consider Motions 8.1, 8.2 and 8.3 en bloc. Motion carried.

- 8.2** That the Guild Volunteering Manager will be responsible for contacting each Voluntourism Organisation and informing them of the change in Guild policy.

Moved: Tom Henderson; Sam Shipley.

- 8.3** That Guild Council make a strong statement to the University regarding the following matters:

- a. That the Guild does not endorse the activities of Voluntourism Organisations.
- b. That the Guild wishes that Voluntourism Organisations do not engage in lecture bashing.
- c. That the Guild wishes that students are no longer able to receive course credit for participating in ISV projects via the Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology (RMIT).
- d. That the Guild wishes that chalking, postering, and any other promotional activities conducted by Voluntourism Organisations outside of the Guild without the approval of Grounds be removed.

Moved: Tom Henderson; Seconded: Sam Shipley.

Tom gave his speaking rights to Aden Date.

Aden said his experience with these organisations started last year. They tend to come post O-Day and don't have stalls, but they book out rooms around campus. He said two of them made use of Guild Catering's rooms and another two used rooms around the University, which is why this motion includes reference to the University as well.

He said his main concerns are around the marketing and the nature of the organisations themselves. He said he felt that a lot of the promotion they engage in is quite misleading, in particular it promotes that you can get course credits with them for volunteering which is not correct. There are articles on Facebook about the benefits of these organisations but the communities they intend to serve are often quite dubious.

He said they are postering and flyer-ing without Guild approval and chalk around campus. They don't pay any rates. They have explicitly misled at times, for example going to Chloe and saying to her that Aden had said it was okay for them to set up, which he hadn't. He said it also detracts from a lot of what Guild Volunteering does, as they get students coming in wanting to know more about these organisations, while the organisations haven't made any effort to contact us to inform us of what they are doing. As a result, we haven't really had anything to offer those students.

He said we should have a process and someone these organisations have to speak to, before they are able to promote on campus.

He said that the motions came through Volunteering & Community Engagement Committee.

Sam said that the matter of Voluntourism was discussed in depth at the previous Student Services Committee meeting. He said that these organisations cause a fair few issues for Guild Volunteering, which is not what we want.

Millie asked whether the motions would affect the volunteering that the faculty societies do?

Aden said no, and there should be exclusions for any clubs or faculty societies. Aden gave the example of WAMSS involvement in the Institute of Indian Mother and Child, which he said was very good value.

Tom moved an amendment to replace 8.1 b. with:

"Guild affiliated clubs and societies are exempt from this."

In reference to 8.3 c., Alex asked for a rundown on what the actual policy is and what RMIT get out of it?

Aden said this is the area where it does need to be brought up with the university. As it works with International Student Volunteers (ISV), you can enrol as a student of RMIT for the purpose of going through the course called 'Science Project', where you basically pay for the course and the entire content of that unit is participating in an ISV trip, except you have to write a 2000 word essay at the end. You then get course credit through RMIT, which you can then take to your faculty supervisor or

course co-ordinator and try to get course credit for the unit.

He said for RMIT it is basically a cash cow, because all they need is someone to sign off on a whole lot of essays at the end of the semester. He said RMIT have had students from all around the country going through this and the students that do this are not getting any education benefit on top of what would be offered by the trip itself. He said he personally questions the education value of these trips. He also knows that the Dean of Course Work Studies is generally not supportive of it, but it is something that has happened in the 'middle' of the university.

He said it is not generally recognised what is actually happening on these trips and the University would do well to investigate it. He said if the university does judge they have educational value, UWA itself could create the 'shell unit' very easily, and then UWA would be the university receiving funding for the unit, rather than going backchannel through RMIT. Aden said if it has educational value it should be situated at the UWA and if it doesn't, then it shouldn't be something you can get academic credit for.

Lizzy asked if the steps taken from this would be for her and Tom to take it up with the Academic Board, or is it something that they could speak with W/Prof Grady Venville (The Dean of Coursework Studies) and perhaps develop a University policy for it, from a service learning aspect.

Aden said he had previously had discussions with Grady Venville, but said that he would leave it with the Guild to see what the best approach would be.

Aiden said in any other case where a student enrolls in a cross institution, there is a person signing each faculty who has the final say. Aiden said that if the Deans or faculties think it's fine, what place does the Guild have?

Aden said he had heard feedback where people had participated in trips that they had felt that the education value is minimal and part of it too is that because it is not actually something offered by RMIT itself, it is not something of educational value provided by the university. He said RMIT have just provided a shell by which they can receive funding, in order to provide a very loose education structure around the ISV trips, which is why it needs to be looked into. He said if it is something to be judged of worth, we should have it situated at UWA. He said that obviously the final say is with academic staff at the University, so all we can do is put it to them.

Aiden asked in terms of the use of Grounds, what is precisely meant by that?

Aden said chalking, putting up unauthorised flyers and posters... he said they also set up tables and banners.

Aiden said his understanding is that if you are not with a Guild affiliated society and you start putting posters up, the posters are taken down.

Owen moved the Chair to Cam.

Owen said there are a number of outside organisations that get approval for putting posters up, because they are deemed to be of worth to the community. It has been a long standing procedure that was in the poster policy but in this case, instead of asking Events which is the normal avenue, Aiden is suggesting that it goes through Guild Volunteering, as they are volunteering organisations and activities.

The Chair was moved back to Owen.

Aiden asked if the way it would go is that these organisations would need Aden's permission before booking rooms through Guild Catering, but outside external organisations have that facility. Guild Catering books rooms out to any organisation or business. Is what you mean that they should not get any discount?

Aden said he thinks it is the same thing again, as they are a volunteering based organisation, he feels they should have some say in it.

Francois said regarding 8.1 a., what correspondence has there been with these organisations to discuss the situation?

Aden said he has said to them when they come down on the day that we don't allow flyering, chalking, etc. Basically depending on what happens with this motion will determine how things will be handled in the future. He said they have been told various times about not putting posters up and about not being Guild affiliated, etc. The issue is that they continue to do so even though they have been warned.

He said at present when they came in last week and asked to set up and put posters up, he didn't have a response, as he didn't know what the policy was. If we have existing policies to cope with these organisations then that is great but his understanding is that we don't. Also with the organisations that come through us we like to be able to promote it through the website. It can't be understated that these are international organisations that are engaging in misleading conduct.

Maddie said she wanted to clarify the purpose of this motion is that we are not in support of these voluntary organisations, not because they are not Guild Volunteering, but because of the nature of what these organisations are and what they stand for and the kind of "voluntourism" that they do, which is that they are more focused on tourism than volunteering and taking money away from people who think that they are volunteering. She said the Guild completely have the freedom to limit who uses our facilities, because they are our facilities. We have the power to say no you can't put up posters, etc.

Lizzy asked Aden to clarify some of the moral/ethical issues that are associated with these voluntourism organisations?

Aden said that GIVE is for profit, ISV wasn't very forthcoming on whether they were or not, but Reach Out is not for profit. He said that Reach Out seem to be the most "above board". The main issue around these organisations is that the emphasis is on tourism. All of the organisations have a package where it is two weeks volunteering and two weeks of white-water rafting or cultural tourism, or something like that. You have people who aren't qualified to teach English or educate children here being told that they can go and teach children whose second language is English, when they are not qualified to teach either. He said it is a moral judgment about what these organisations are promoting and he thinks they are quite misleading in presenting something that gives people the opportunity to feel good.

Owen moved a procedural motion to close the speaking list for questions. Motion carried.

Alex asked regarding 8.3 c. about the academic value of these experiences. Has that been done on a case by case basis and should it be, or are we blanket slating all of these into one category where we say we don't think any of these have any academic value.

Aden said that education value is only in question for those that are offering course credits, which is currently only ISV. If it is voluntary, it is often experiential. In terms of the education value, yes it is quite case-by-case. Generally those that have full course credit international volunteering will go through Australian Volunteers for International Development, which is run under AusAID. He said there are no real frameworks. He tried to find one but it is very much case by case, making phone calls to find out what their program actually involves. He said for a UWA unit to be approved, you would have to establish that it is going to be something like 150 hours of education value and on that test alone, most would fail.

Merredith said she is on the Volunteering & Community Engagement committee and would like to speak for the motion. She said that coming from a medical background, and as Co-Chair of Interhealth, she is used to organising a lot of overseas exchanges. She said one of the main problems with several of these exchanges is that people don't receive sufficient training when they're going overseas, nor do they receive sufficient preparation. She said you have to wonder why these organisations charge such a high amount for people to go overseas. She said the process that they go through and the motivations behind them really aren't sustainable. She said she thinks it is important that the Guild does support organisations that do have sustainable volunteering. There is no point sending someone overseas and

spending up to \$10,000 to have a four week experience, when it can have more of a detrimental effect to the organisation that they go to overseas, if they haven't had sufficient preparation and don't have the motivation to do good when they are over there. She said she thinks this motion will be able to sort out those that are truly 'doing good' and those that are not.

Tony said what we need from a staffing perspective is a bit more control from an operational level, because we do have a problem with other organisations who have nothing to do with the Guild, who come in from a commercial nature just to flog our market. He said the newly formed commercial team should be focused on charging these entities that are deemed to be commercial. One thing we need to do for the campus generally from the Guild side of things is to promote the opportunity to other organisations externally to advertise or promote in the correct way. He said his suggestion would be to put a notice up saying if you do poster something up on the Guild precinct area, you will get charged a fine, like any other person billboard around the country or anyway else in a public area. Secondly, the events team are in a position now where they can actually do that and if they get the go ahead, they can go and police that more effectively from an operation point of view. He said that many of these voluntourism organisations make a lot of money and that they are not necessarily focused on the end product or idea.

Max said the main issue is students not knowing what they are getting into when they are giving thousands of dollars to organisations, in the hope of helping out other people. He said that he doesn't really care if organisations want to make money off selling entertainment. The difference between organisations such as Flight Centre and Contiki is that the voluntourism organisations sell the social justice aspect. He said that some of these voluntourism organisations are for-profit and are misleading students about the activities that they provide, in order to sell a product to students. He said he doesn't want organisations using Guild resources and Guild facilities to sell students those kinds of products on campus.

He said he doesn't know much about for-profit Voluntourism organisations, but that the only person in the room who knows a lot about it is Aden, who is paid to work as the Guild Volunteering Manager. He said if Aden feels there are organisations that may potentially be misleading students on campus and wants this instrument in order to decide which ones are appropriate for us to be lending our facilities to, and then he has no issue with that. He said he trusts that members of Guild clubs and societies have a reasonable barometer about what constitutes good clubs on campuses and that is why there are a lot of excellent clubs on campuses or committees of various faculty societies – groups like Stand and TLG.

Tom said the gist of 8.1 is formalising where decisions on volunteering are tasked. He said that when a volunteering organisation come to the commercial or events team, Aden should be notified and he can make a decision as to their benefits on campus and whether or not Guild Volunteering decide they are a worthy organisation. It is not saying that they cannot use the Guild's resources, but they must receive proper approval first.

He said 8.2 is ensuring Aden notifies all the organisations.

Tom moved an amendment to 8.3 c. to read:

"That the Guild tasks the University with investigating students receiving course credit through the Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology (RMIT) for participating in ISV projects."

Tom said that the other issue was that some of these organisations were saying that Aden had approved putting up flyers, when he had not.

Sam moved a procedural motion to close the speaking list. Motion passed.

Tom asked whether it would be sufficient that Aden was notified about rooms being booked through Guild Catering?

Aden said his concern would be that in the past booking a room through Guild Catering is being equivalent to being able to make full use of the Guild space. If there was to be a way that we are indiscriminate with booking rooms through Guild Catering it would need to be very clearly communicated that this does not give them a right to make use of other services. He said that there would be no point for one of those organisations to book out a room if they did not put posters around.

Tom moved an amendment to 8.1 to read:

“That all organisations with a focus on international volunteering (Voluntourism Organisations) must receive the approval of Guild Volunteering Manager before using any of the Guild’s resources, including the use of grounds, distributing flyers/posters. Furthermore, that the Guild Volunteering Manager be notified when any Voluntourism Organisation books a room through Guild Catering.”

Motions 8.1, 8.2 and 8.3 were put en bloc. **Motions 8.1, 8.2 and 8.3 were passed as amended.**

For: Tom Henderson, Cam Fitzgerald, Sam Shipley, Daniel Jo, Lizzy O’Shea, Honny Palayukan, Maddie Mulholland, Bec Doyle, Kenneth Woo, Alex Bennet, Merredith Cully, Richie Wu, Jonathan Lo, Millie Dacre, Sam Shenton (for Rida Ahmed).

Abstaining: Owen Myles, Aiden Depiazzi.

Against: Francois Schiefler, Daniel Bell (for Rebecca Lawrence).

9.0 GENERAL BUSINESS

9.1 Other Business

Cam said that Grill the Guild was being held at St. Catherine’s College on Thursday night and asked that everyone could come along.

10.0 CLOSE / NEXT MEETING

The next meeting will be held on Wednesday 30th April 2014 at 6.00pm. Please contact the Guild Secretary (secretary@guild.uwa.edu.au) with any apologies or proxies. All office bearers and department officers will be available at 5.30pm immediately prior to the meeting. If unable to attend, please advise which dates you are available to reschedule if a quorum cannot be met.