



1.0 WELCOME AND OPENING

Owen welcomed all Councillors, directors and observers and proxies and acknowledged that UWA is situated on Nyoongar land and paid his respects to elders past and present, and acknowledged the Nyoongar people remain the spiritual and cultural custodians of their land and that they continue to practice their beliefs, languages, values and knowledge.

1.1 Attendance

Tom Henderson (Guild President), Cam Fitzgerald (Vice-President), Sam Shipley (Secretary), Daniel Jo (Treasurer), Lizzy O'Shea (Ed Council President), Honny Palayukan (PAC President), Maddie Mulholland (SOC President), Bec Doyle (Women's Officer), Max Riley (Welfare Officer), Bryn Howells (Environment Officer), Michael Morrissey (Guild Sports Representative), Kenneth Woo (ISS & OGC), Owen Myles (Chair & OGC), Alex Bennet (OGC), Rida Ahmed (OGC), Meredith Cully (OGC), Jonathan Lo (OGC), Aiden Depiazzi (OGC), Millie Dacre (OGC), Francois Schiefler (OGC), Laura Clappinson (Queer Officer).

1.2 Apologies

Richie Wu (OGC), Rebecca Lawrence (OGC), Avory Allen (Queer Officer), Marlia Fatnowna (Aboriginal & Torres Strait Islander Students).

1.3 Proxies

Bryn Howells (for Richie Wu), Cameron Payne (for Rebecca Lawrence), Natalia Verne (for Avory Allen), Jannika Jacky (for Marlia Fatnowna).

1.4 Observers

Samuel Shenton, Ahmed Suliman.

Owen moved to accept all attendances and proxies. Attendances and proxies accepted.

2.0 DECLARATIONS OF POTENTIAL OR PERCEIVED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Lizzy declared a potential conflict of interest for items 8.3 and 8.5, as she is on the NUS National Executive. Alex declared a potential conflict of interest for items 8.3 and 8.5, as he is on the NUS State Branch.

3.0 CONFIRMATION OF PREVIOUS MINUTES

4.0 BUSINESS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES

Maddie moved a procedural motion to consider item 8.1. Motion passed. Normal procedure of meeting then resumed.

5.0 DIRECTORS' REPORTS

5.1 Managing Director's Report

Wayne said regarding the consolidation project, move-in day is tomorrow. The finances are reported at \$90,000 under budget, which is quite acceptable, however variations are still coming through. After that is wrapped up, we will be able to discuss how we want to use that \$90,000.

Regarding the Student Central Hub Project, Part A and Part B are both under way, with their respective project design groups allocated. These have both met several times.

Regarding the tavern and the bookshop reviews, he reconfirmed that the first part of both of those reviews was to commission a report with the general manager of TAG, which happened last week. He said they have had some great forums and they do a lot of listening in this phase. He thanked everyone who had contributed this year.

Regarding Workplace Health and Safety, there is encouraging improvement with our six-month assessment. After reviewing the last two annual reports of the UWA Safety Committee, which is the report that goes through to the VC, he reviewed 2012, 2013 and the first quarter of 2014. He said the Guild is performing very well in terms of no lost time injuries. In fact, when compared with other UWA affiliates, the Guild is the only one who has had no lost time injuries.

Regarding Subway and Boost, the activity level is very high. We are confirming the design, the compliance and the costings. He said until he gets enough information on all of those he won't be in a position to formulate a report through the Strategic Resources Committee. It is coming together quite quickly but left are several hurdles remaining, but it is proceeding well.

Regarding catering, Wayne referred to the schedule of where the Guild is at with refurbishments. He said the figures up to the end of April for this year for retail outlets have increased by more than 10% on last year's figures. This is very positive, especially if you look back at 2011 and 2012, the amount of transactions conducted by Guild Catering itself, or under the control of Guild Catering being mobiles or contractors, is up significantly.

The Guild Village Precinct itself is up in the order of 33% to 35%. He said with the strategy that we have had of precinct building, catering plays a big role in building the precinct. There are some very impressive figures. We have created a new outlet and there is a jump of 250% in Dentistry Kiosk, which is impressive. Guild Village Café, which is one of our longest regular trading outlets throughout the year, is up in the order of 9% to 10%.

He congratulated Ken's team for their performance to date. He said we have set ourselves a tough budget, but we are heading towards not only achieving that but also exceeding it.

5.2 Finance Report

Wayne said finances are supported by good performances in catering. There are some challenges under the Guild Council line, to absorb the cost of redundancy, which we incurred. The redundancy was \$6,000 lower than the conservative figure that we put in the initial report. There are some timing differences still existing in the accounts. The Faculty Society grants could quite well come through next month, but are under budget at the moment.

There is a significant favourable timing variance in the volunteer section, relating to the timing of grants. There is also a series of favourable expense budgets, mainly in Corporate and Student Services, which is down through Student Assist and Events, which is supported through the performance on O-Day. Memberships and Communications and Design are also performing well against budget.

We are spending a bit more money in Finance, with extra costs in long-term sick leave. The extra costs are under what the indicative cost of what that paid sick leave is at the moment.

Regarding capital expenditure, for next month's report he said he would make sure that the capital expenditure line clearly shows the spending against the approved 2013 capital expenditure, against the 2014 capital expenditure. Some of that actual cash is spilled over from 2013 projects, which have gone over the year-end. That figure at the moment looks high against budget, but by next month we will be able to see that the 2014 capital budget is tracking favourably.

Aiden asked about the variation in the Admin line of about \$11,000.

Wayne said it is a timing variance and it should swing back in April, and will be back under budget.

Aiden asked about the variation in Finance. Is it predominantly due to the long-term sick leave?

Wayne said it is a combination of the unexpected long-term sick leave component and having to engage a replacement through an agency. So there are the agency costs, as well as the sick leave costs.

Aiden asked if that staff member would be returning any time soon?

Wayne said there is a legal right to return to work, but he doesn't have any indication at this stage whether that person is likely to return to work and is unable to make a definitive statement. Wayne said medical certificates are being provided regularly.

5.3 Catering Director's Report

Ken said sales are good for the month of March. We are ahead, especially in functions. Costs of goods are lower than budgeted, in terms of percentage, which is a better indication than in dollars when sales are above budget. He said payroll costs are higher than budget, because of training costs and also the requirement of having more staff to meet the increased sales.

Operating expenses are just about on budget, with no major concerns to report. Profits in cash are about \$200,000, with an accounting profit of about \$35,000, which is about \$50,000 ahead of budget.

After three months, the Tavern has recorded a net surplus of \$6,000 against \$13,000. The main concern is the cost of sales, which is 9% above budget. He said they have identified that food cost and the subsidy that was previously through catering for the kitchen is now being directly charged to the Tavern. He said they are focused on this and will see if they can improve this gap.

He said they are hopeful of recording about another \$30,000 to \$50,000 profit, which will take the profits to about \$125,000, which will be \$60,000 to \$70,000 ahead of budget.

Alex asked Ken if he was optimistic to make the 5% target as set out at the start of the year.

Ken said yes, but he will wait until mid-year to be more definite on that.

Wayne said to clarify, the percentage increase he was referring to earlier was retail sales and he didn't include functions.

5.4 Director of Student and Corporate Services Report

Tony said PROSH was very successful this year and there have been no complaints. The Guild has received very good feedback not only from the university, but also from the wider community. PROSH was a great effort not only from all the departments, but also everyone who has been involved, as well as the directors and the editors. Hopefully we are back on track of rebuilding strength and support within the wider community for next year.

He said the first of the new website is now starting to populate and over the next few days we should start to see it come live on the main site. There is a bit of updating with some of the other sections, such as the Societies Council and Clubs and Committees Section, which is going to be rebuilt and altogether, likewise with the Events Department. They are rebuilding those particular elements of the site. Catering now has an area on the website and we will be pushing student deals and specials that are

going on. Tony thanked Cam Fitzgerald who has been leading the design on the website for a great job.

Tom said the new website is www.uwastudentguild.com and this will be put out through various media, G-News, Facebook pages, etc.

Tony said Student Assist is getting quite busy now. There is another new staff member joining next week and there will now be three staff members. He said regarding the surveys that have gone out about orientation and UniMentor, there has been a very good take-up. We are just over the 1,100 mark on people who have completed the surveys, which is quite impressive and we are getting very good feedback on that. When those results come through they will be able to distribute them.

6.0 REPORTS

6.1 Guild President

Tom said Senate was held on Monday and probably the biggest thing to come out of the meeting, which dominated the majority of the discussion, was the discussion about deregulation and what that means for UWA. UWA doesn't know for sure how it is going to fund its Cycle 2 courses next year. UWA currently has an agreement with the Government to fund our current Course 2 Masters as Undergraduate courses and they get Commonwealth supported funding in that manner. The previous Vice Chancellor Alan Robson decided this in 2009. The University is a little unsure as to how they will be marketing these Cycle 2 programs in the future, as they don't know whether they will be saying there is Commonwealth supported places, you have HECS and there are a certain amount, or whether they will be saying they are full fee, with a varying degree in the amount of HECS support that they have.

He said there are a lot of Masters courses that are currently full fee paying anyway, so that is a different question, in terms of deregulation and whether price increases will occur. The majority of the discussion was around the idea that Engineering, Law, Physics, Agricultural courses, etc., which are likely to become full fee-paying and not Commonwealth supported courses, if deregulation goes through.

He said there is hope, given that one of the masterminds of the new courses program, Don Markwell, who was key in the design and implementation of the new courses program in 2012, is now an adviser to either Tony Abbott or Christopher Pine. The Vice Chancellor has been discussing with them and there may be a re-signing of the agreement that they had previously, but that also puts pressure on the bottom line of the university, as they are teaching Masters courses at a higher cost than undergraduate courses. There is a lot of background information to this and he said he is happy to discuss this with anyone who would like further information.

Owen moved a procedural motion to consider item 8.6. Motion passed.

6.2 Vice-President

Report as tabled.

6.3 Secretary

Report as tabled.

6.4 Treasurer

Report as tabled. Daniel said that if there were any ideas to improve catering in terms of new products, that he would like people to email him to discuss it.

6.5 Education Council President

Report as tabled. Lizzy said they are having a Forum next week for EAN, which will be regarding information on potential regulation and how we deal with it at a UWA level. The EAN now have a mailing list that Oscar is in charge of, as EAN coordinator. She said she is keen for feedback on the teaching awards, the Know Your Rights guide, and on any ways we can advertise Student Assist to students, or ways that in the lead-up to exams we can improve their services. She said we could look at a Student Assist pre-booking system for weekends before exams, which was something that was raised about six months ago.

She said they had an Ed Council meeting last night which went well, with some discussions about some issues with mid-semester exams, some accreditations of courses that there have been issues with in the past, and some issues with lecture recordings. If anyone wants information on this she will provide a copy of the meeting minutes.

6.6 Public Affairs Council President

Report as tabled. Honny said in the Social Justice section under Activities, there would be individual reports provided by the chairs this year with some summaries on finances, as they did make a significant amount of money for charity and also some recommendations for future years. She said she expects that the people who coordinated Faith Week will make up a similar document.

6.7 Societies Council President

Report as tabled.

6.8 Women's Department

Report as tabled.

6.9 Welfare Department

Report as tabled. Max said there are two amendments. The first being that the State Government has offered to run through the Department of Health a safe sexual health promotion event at the tavern in the last week of semester. He is trying to facilitate a date with Gary.

He said representatives of Falun Gong Australia, who want to run activities on campus, have contacted him. In accordance with Guild policies on non-discrimination, he has decided as they are providing this for free, to accept their offer. He said this is not a political endorsement of anything Falun Gong does, or a combination of anything that may be done through Falun Gong.

6.10 Environment Department

Report as tabled.

6.11 International Student Services

Report as tabled.

6.12 Queer Department

Report as tabled. Laura said there are tickets available for the Eurovision Karaoke event that is coming up, which is a big thing for the department!

6.13 Guild Sports Representative

Report as tabled.

6.14 Postgraduate Students' Association

No report submitted.

6.15 Residential Students' Department

No report submitted.

6.16 Aboriginal & Torres Strait Islander Students

Jannika said next week there is an event coming up called Marnda week. Things are already set in motion for next week and they are hoping that it will be successful. She asked for Guild members to assist in the setting up and to provide a presence there.

She said she has a program of events that she can make available. She said the program consists of Tuesday – Cultural Day with a Welcome to Country, Wednesday – Inter-Faculty Sport with a barbecue at the end with some indigenous sportsmen as special guests, Thursday – the film Utopia by John Hooker, which will be held in the Murdoch Lecture Theatre, and Friday – a cocktail event with an art gallery from some of the students.

Also coming up is the National Indigenous Student Games, which is hosted by at UWA. There is a sub-committee for that from WASAC, which is all gearing up at the moment.

7.0 QUESTION TIME

Millie asked Michael if there was any interest in looking at holding Inter-Faculty Sport on a different day of the week? Michael said this gets raised quite often and at the moment Dylan Harvey at Sports Science is trying to convince his boss to change it to Tuesday. However on Tuesday it is common lunch hour and there is the problem where many people are selling or buying tickets on Oak Lawn. He said that every year it is looked into and they are looking at it again this year, but it is difficult to find an alternative day that suits everyone. He said it would be difficult to alternate days, as Sports and Recreation are averse to changing it from week-to-week.

Cameron Payne (proxy for Rebecca Lawrence) asked Lizzy how SLETS was going? Lizzy said the way that SLETS is broken down, is that the first half is very general and the second half is faculty-specific. She said there have been some issues with the faculty specific-stuff, but not the first set, which is going fine. She said it is getting to the point where she will probably going to try to delegate it to Jess Toon in Research, or get someone from Statistics to do it. She said that many people filled out the survey incorrectly. She said that CATL (Centre for the Advancement of Teaching and Learning) would like the Guild to publish the results, because it is the kind of data that may be used in Academic Board meetings - for example, they say we have to use teaching practices that are used in research to be effective, and we want to be able to say that it is based on the results of a student survey. She said she would update council more regularly.

Millie asked if the Guild is looking into doing anything about contents insurance for the future. Tom said he had a discussion with Finance that day. He said the Guild has contents insurance for things like computers, etc., but only on named or listed items. He is going to look into getting extra cover, and how much it will cost.

8.0 MOTIONS ON NOTICE

- 8.1 That Council approves the amended Rules of the Societies Council, as attached.
Moved: Maddie Mulholland; Seconded: Owen Myles.

Maddie moved a procedural motion to give Samuel Shenton speaking rights. Motion passed.

Shenton said the SOC Council rules were last updated in 2012 and required further updates. He said this was in order to bring the rules of SOC in line with the Guild Regulations.

He said that the changes include the definition of the Societies Council Committee, which was not formally defined. Another change was the definition of the Finance Sub-Committee, which is in the Guild Regs but not in the rules itself and in practice it didn't exist.

The Tenancy Committee, which was originally in the Tenancy Agreement, has now been broadened to the Societies Council Rules, in order to make one centralised SOC document. There are also updates to the disaffiliation procedures for inactive clubs.

The motion was put. **Motion 8.1 was passed unanimously.**

- 8.2 That Council adopts the attached media relations policy.
Moved: Owen Myles; Seconded: Tom Henderson.

Owen moved the Chair to Cam.

Owen said that for a long time the Guild has not had a proper media relations policy. He said the attached policy is not meant to be the final media policy that we adopt, but it is an interim policy, until Governance can develop a final policy that may be adopted for years to come.

He said that he is happy to discuss any minor issues people may have with the wording of any points in the policy. He said it would be good if student reps and staff knew where they stand in terms of media relations.

He said while some of the points are 'suggestions' there are a few things in there which are strict, such as not releasing confidential documents.

Tom said this policy was passed unanimously at the Governance Committee meeting, which was held on the Easter public holiday.

Alex asked about Rule 5.2, which says that "Guild endorsement of statements made on social media should only be implied if that statement is clearly in line with the policy located in the Guild Policy Book or with the permission of the Guild President". He said for example, supporting the Medicare rally required an interim policy made by the Executive. He asked should this rule reflect that in terms of saying "permission of the Guild President, subject to the acceptance of by the Executive" or something similar?

Owen said in drafting the policy, he tried to follow what he thought was the convention and as far as he was aware for previous years, if you wanted to talk to the media you went to the Guild President rather than the Executive. He said if we want to change that he is happy to do it.

Francois asked whether the reason we are considering an interim policy is that we need to have something there until a better one could be developed?

Tom said yes, because we don't currently have any media relations policy.

Owen said the changes that are being suggested to the current draft are fairly minor. They are more wording clarification issues than anything else. He said that the final product shouldn't be substantially different to the current one.

Francois asked if the Guild could "wait out" without a media relations policy?

Owen said that the final policy should only be a few months away, but we need to have something in place now in case something happens in the meantime. He said the 100th Council directed Statutes to create a media policy and he would like to fulfil that requirement to Council.

Aiden said that we should make it clear that it is an interim policy, which has an expiration date. Owen explained that in the policy it says that it would be in effect until the October Meeting of Guild Council.

Aiden moved an amendment:

"That Council adopts the attached Medial Relations Policy as an interim measure at the October Meeting of Guild Council, on October 29, 2014."

Alex moved the amendment to Rule 5.2 in the policy:

"Guild endorsement of statements made on social media should only be implied if that statement is clearly in line with the policy located in the Guild Policy Book or with the permission of the Guild President, subject to the acceptance of by the Executive."

Owen accepted both amendments.

The motion was put. **Motion 8.2 passed unanimously with amendments.**

The Chair was handed back to Owen.

8.3 That Council directs the Governance Committee to determine a set of key performance indicators for the 2014 NUS National Conference subject to the following parameters:

- (a) That if these KPI's are not met no registration fees or financial support in terms of flights, accommodation and other payments shall be paid by the Guild for delegates to attend the 2015 NUS National Conference; and
- (b) That for a KPI to be deemed to have been met a two-thirds majority of NUS delegates elected at the 2014 Guild Elections is required.

Moved: Aiden Depiazzi; Seconded: Rebecca Lawrence.

Aiden said this was a recommendation Rebecca and he came to after attending the 2013 NUS National Conference and they put it in their NUS Report.

He said he felt that a series of problems that they faced in the 2013 reflected on the organisation, and some serious issues that it has.

He said that Part A is that we look at a set of key performance indicators and the NUS delegates who go to the conference will assess the conference in line with those KPI's and then judge whether or not that conference has met those KPI's. He said he would imagine that if a majority of KPI's were not met, then that would cause the Guild to not pay for its delegates to attend the conference the following year.

He said Part B just allows that the NUS delegates will be the ones determining if the KPI's have been met or not, as they will be the ones attending the conference. He said there would no point for Council to determine if a KPI had been met, as 90% of Council would probably not attend the Conference. He said the motion itself simply hands the task to Governance, as he thinks it is a task that will take a long time and will involve a lot of consultation with NUS delegates past and present.

Tom said last year, a NUS delegate decided not to attend the conference. He asked how is that reflected in this majority system, or does it just relates to NUS delegates who attend the conference?

Aiden said if that person elects a UWA student to take their place, then that person should have a role, so perhaps the motion could state "NUS delegates or their proxies who attend".

Lizzy asked if a person who was already a delegate was also elected as a proxy for a delegate who did not attend, would that give the person two votes? She said it would still just be one person attending the conference.

Millie suggested that the motion should state "any UWA student who attends the conference as representing the campus".

Tom said that this would be confusing and would mean that any non-elected delegates who attended the conference would then have a vote.

Lizzy said that a delegate should not be able to proxy their vote on the KPI's if they did not attend the conference, as it would not reflect the experience or opinion of an additional person.

Aiden said that any UWA student who attends the conference representing the campus should have a say about what happens at the conference.

Bec asked what about a student who attends the conference as an observer?

Aiden said that person doesn't have the same rights of participation in the conference as a delegate.

Lizzy said that it should be made clear, as there are often a number of UWA students who attend the conference without being an elected UWA delegate.

Alex said that for consistency, should the Guild be setting KPI's for all the conferences that we send UWA delegates to?

Aiden said that given that this is the only conference where there seems to be recurring issues, that it should only apply to this conference.

Alex said that he is very much for having KPI's for NUS and that he is probably one of the first to criticise NUS Nat Con, as it wasn't run very professionally. He said that there are some issues with the wording of the motion. He said the motion essentially outlines what is a binary outcome from these KPI's, so if they were not met, no registration fees or finances would be put towards the conference. This seems to convey the meaning that if all of the KPI's are not met, then we make no financial contribution. He suggested that this should be qualified or amended.

He said his other issue with this is that it only considers the NUS National Conference. He said when we look at NUS we need to consider our affiliation, as well as the money we spend to send delegates to the conference. The National Conference is only one area of the entirety of our monetary contributions to the organisation. He said that in order to have a true represented KPI system, we should be putting KPI's on the entire organisation, where Nat Con would be a component, but there would also be other components to judge.

Alex said he thinks the penalties for not meeting KPI's should be focussed on our affiliation fee, not on sending delegates to conference. He said that if you cut funding towards sending delegates to the National Conference, you are essentially limiting any way that UWA students can impact the direction and policies of NUS for the following year. He said he thinks that funding for sending delegates to conference should be the last thing to go, before complete disaffiliation.

He said that if these suggestions were implemented and the motion was amended, he would be happy to vote for it. He also said that if the amendments were not made and the motion failed, he

would plan to move a similar amended motion at the next Guild Council meeting.

Lizzy said she is on the NUS National Executive and has an obligation to abstain on voting for this. She said that through her experiences on National Executive, there are a number of things in NUS' processes that need just as much improvement as Nat Con; things that they have started doing, because other campuses have put KPI's on them. She said one example is that NUS now circulate National Office Bearer reports to all affiliates. She said that other campuses putting KPI's on NUS have helped to improve NUS' services.

She said she is very supportive of KPI's for the entire functioning of the organisation. She said a lot of other campuses are looking into this, which and is one of the few ways, beside from having someone on National Executive or boycotting conferences, in which we can improve our relationship with NUS. She said that she supports the KPI's but that she would have to abstain from this motion. She also said that if the motion failed, she would be keen to do a motion for next meeting, potentially in consult with the other campuses.

Payne said that the focus of the KPIs should be on the National Conference.

Honny asked if NUS didn't meet the KPI's and no finances were put towards sending delegates the following year, what would happen the year after? She said that in a year where no delegates attended the conference, they would not be able to assess whether KPI's had been met.

Aiden said that Governance Committee should work out the implementation and assessment of the KPI's. He said he could take out the parameters and endorse Alex's recommendation that the KPI's are not just for the National Conference but also for NUS generally.

Merredith said she thinks there are lots of reasons why KPI's can often not be met. She said that blanketing the fact that a KPI is not met, without looking or analysing why it wasn't met, may not consider the situation properly. She said it might need to be a decision made on a per case basis.

Aiden said there needs to be strict rules and strict consequences for the violation of the rules, otherwise there's no point having KPI's.

Tom said the KPI's have to be measurable for them to work. He gave the example of the Guild's SLA, which has a lot of KPI requirements to the university, and are set by the Guild.

Aiden moved an amendment:

"That Council directs the Governance Committee to determine a set of key performance indicators for the Guild's relationship with NUS in 2014".

Aiden said perhaps it could include a date by which it is to come back to Council.

Sam said that as the motion now considers NUS as a whole and not just the National Conference, that perhaps it should not only be the elected NUS delegates who would judge all the KPI's. He said that while the NUS delegates should judge the KPI's for the National Conference, other people, such as the Guild President, would be in better position to judge the KPI's that do not relate to the National Conference.

Aiden said he meant to remove both parameters (a) and (b), and that the part about the delegates judging the KPI's would not be part of the amended motion.

Lizzy said that if the KPI's are developed in June, the organisation would only have a few months before October, when the terms wrap-up, to meet the KPI's. She said it would be difficult for NUS to meet a full set of KPI's, when they are not given much notice. She said that she thought the KPI's should be developed in consultation with NUS, so that we know what they are doing and what is achievable. She said there is little point setting a KPI for something that isn't on NUS' agenda for the

year. She said it would probably be appropriate to draft the KPI's this year for the following year, so that NUS have a year to meet them.

Aiden said there is no reason to delay this any further and wait an extra year to develop KPI's for NUS. He said that Governance Committee should be developing the KPI's, as there are members of Governance committee who are both pro-NUS and anti-NUS.

Aiden moved a procedural motion to move to a vote. Motion failed.

Max said he acknowledges a slight conflict of interest, as he is a State Welfare Officer for NUS, which is a non-voting position. He said Governance reviews regulations and examine structural regulatory problems the Guild has, and that is why they are dealing with things like electoral regulations. He said that Governance Committee should not be who determines Guild spending priorities, or who judges whether or not Guild spending is appropriate. He said as to the extent to which Governance is more balanced than other Guild committees, that Council elected all Guild committees and they have the mandate to act whilst Guild Council is not in session. He said he supports the KPI's, as they serve a purpose and prevent people getting out of commitments. He said he does not think it would be appropriate for people who are not elected to Council to determine KPI's.

Owen moved a procedural motion to close the speaking list. Motion passed.

Payne said that Council elected Governance Committee and that he thought that it should be writing the KPI's. He said he wants everyone to vote in favour of the motion.

Francois said that he thinks it is a really good motion. He said he wants everyone to vote in favour of the motion.

Tom said that anything that goes through Governance must be approved by Council, so all Council members will get to have a say in the end.

Aiden moved the amendment:

"That Council directs the Governance Committee to determine a set of key performance indicators for the Guild's relationship with NUS in 2014, to be returned to Council by the June Guild Council Meeting, 2014."

The motion was put. **Motion 8.3 passed as amended.**

For: Tom Henderson, Cam Fitzgerald, Sam Shipley, Daniel Jo, Maddie Mulholland, Bec Doyle, Kenneth Woo, Alex Bennet, Rida Ahmed, Merredith Cully, Jonathan Lo, Aiden Depiazzi, Millie Dacre, Francois Schiefler, Bryn Howells (for Richie Wu), Cameron Payne (for Rebecca Lawrence).

Abstaining: Lizzy O'Shea, Honny Palayukan, Owen Myles.

Against: None.

- 8.4** That Council requires the Guild Secretary to have made available on the Guild Website all agendas, minutes, attachments and reports of all previous meetings of the 100th and 101st Guild Councils no later than Wednesday 21st May to meet the Guild's commitment to open and transparent operations.

Moved: Aiden Depiazzi; Seconded: Rebecca Lawrence.

Aiden said it has been brought up that there are some missing things, which haven't been uploaded to the website. He said at the last meeting when he requested a series of documents, a lot of them weren't available.

Maddie said the approved documents are all there, if you log on to the Guild website at www.uwastudentguild.com/about/documents. She said everything was on a central server connected to the website and that connection for some reason got lost.

Aiden said there are still some missing, for example December 2013 Minutes.

Maddie said that Council have not approved these minutes as yet. She said that minutes from previous meetings should hopefully be circulated for approval before the next Guild Council meeting and that once they are approved, they will be put on the Guild website.

Aiden asked whether all previous minutes would be circulated for approval at the next meeting?

Maddie said that it was optimistic, as writing the minutes took a significant amount of time, but that an effort would be made to complete as many of the minutes as possible by that time.

Aiden moved an amendment:

"That Council requires the Guild Secretary to have made available on the Guild Website all agendas, minutes, attachments and reports of all previous meetings of the 100th and 101st Guild Councils no later than Wednesday 4th June to meet the Guild's commitment to open and transparent operations."

The motion was put. **Motion 8.4 passed unanimously with amendments.**

- 8.5** That Council prohibits the spending of any Guild funds for the purposes of bidding to host, or hosting, the 2014 NUS Education conference.

Moved: Aiden Depiazzi; Seconded: Rebecca Lawrence.

Aiden said he submitted this motion last Wednesday and on Sunday NUS announced that we were hosting the conference. He said that he had a conversation with Tom who advised him that no money had been spent in the bid, so he is happy to take that part out of the motion and leave the part about hosting the conference, so that it is revenue neutral to the Guild.

Alex asked whether "prohibited spending of any Guild funds" is synonymous with "cost neutral"?

Aiden said yes, but he is happy to reword the motion if necessary.

Aiden moved an amendment:

"That Council requires that the hosting of the 2014 NUS Education conference be cost neutral to the Guild."

Lizzy asked Aiden if he is uncomfortable with the Guild making a profit from the Education Conference? Aiden said if the Guild can somehow profit from the conference, then that is okay.

Lizzy said she advised that we were doing a bid at Ed Council and Guild Council in her verbal reports. She said the only cost associated with the bid was the printing two copies of the bid on the photocopier. Regarding the costs of hosting the conference, she understands that the majority of UWA students will not be involved with Ed Con and said that it would be great if NUS could run an Education Conference for 2,500 people, but they are expecting 250. She said the registration fees will bring in a large amount of revenue, but that will not come in straight away, as invoicing and getting people to pay for things usually takes a bit of time.

She said she wouldn't be surprised if the Guild needed to put money towards something, which would then be made back. She said in her mind, the only costs that she sees associated with it potentially for the Guild is doing things in-kind for room bookings and offering things like catering at cost where we would break even. This is something that at this point we don't have a budget for just yet, but she can provide one when it is written. She said we are looking for sponsorship. She said she is comfortable with saying that we break even, because that is something that she can take to the organisers.

The motion was put. **Motion 8.5 passed with amendments.**

For: Tom Henderson, Cam Fitzgerald, Sam Shipley, Daniel Jo, Lizzy O’Shea, Maddie Mulholland, Bec Doyle, Kenneth Woo, Owen Myles, Rida Ahmed, Merredith Cully, Jonathan Lo, Aiden Depiazzi, Millie Dacre, Francois Schiefler, Bryn Howells (for Richie Wu), Cameron Payne (for Rebecca Lawrence).

Abstaining: Honny Palayukan, Alex Bennet.

Against: None.

- 8.6** That Council allows for the Guild President, Managing Director and Director of Corporate and Student Services to engage a forensic accountant to evaluate and assist in meeting the audit requirements set out in Statute 20 of the UWA Act.

Moved: Tom Henderson; Seconded: Lizzy O’Shea.

A procedural motion was moved to move into camera. Motion passed.

The motion was put. **Motion 8.6 was passed unanimously.**

Tom moved a procedural motion to consider item 8.8. Motion passed.

- 8.7** That the Guild Council ratify the interim decision made by the Guild Executive to endorse the successful tender for the UWA Student Guild to host the NUS Education conference 2014 during the mid-year break.

Moved: Lizzy O’Shea; Seconded: Alex Bennett.

Lizzy moved a procedural motion that notice requirements be waived for the motion, as it may be deemed urgent. Motion passed.

Lizzy said she thinks this is a step forward in ensuring that NUS bring services to WA and she thinks it will be an opportunity for a lot of people to go to Ed Con – people like FacSoc Presidents and Guild Councillors. She said she spoke about it at Ed Council and had a good reception. She said she is confident that we will be able to get sponsorship. There is a precedent for getting room bookings in-kind from the university, which could represent up to \$10,000.00 worth of in-kind sponsorship. She said they will then be approaching Alec Cameron about additional support for the conference and that she and Tom have a meeting with him next week. She said that UWA would likely sponsor the conference; as for them it is bringing some of the top campus presidents to UWA. She said that she is a bit unhappy with the tender process that happened and that she will be bringing it up at NUS National Conference.

Alex said last year he was very keen to go to Ed Con but he couldn’t go, as it was in Adelaide. He said he heard that there was a lot of knowledge sharing between student leaders from different universities and that he is excited about hosting it and hopes that everyone will endorse it.

Aiden asked did any other university or campus bid for Ed Con?

Lizzy said yes, eventually other campuses placed bids. She said that she was annoyed about the tender process, as it seemed to get extended for a while, while UWA were the only ones wanting it. She said that eventually Deakin put in a bid, because Queer Collaborations is going to be held in Melbourne and that UQ eventually put in a bid. She said it was a competitive tender for the first time in a couple of years, which is one of the reasons why NUS didn’t know how to deal with the matter, because usually they struggle to convince someone to host Ed Con. She said that one of the things that they argued was that the National Women’s Conference (NOWSA) would be held in Perth, at ECU Joondalup the week after. She said that they tried to get Ed Con in Perth, so that more people could attend both conferences. She said that in summary, UQ and Deakin were the only other universities to submit a tender, but she is not sure how formalised Deacon actually was and it may have just been an expression of interest.

Motion was put. **Motion 8.7 passed.**

For: Tom Henderson, Cam Fitzgerald, Sam Shipley, Daniel Jo, Lizzy O'Shea, Honny Palayukan, Maddie Mulholland, Bec Doyle, Kenneth Woo, Owen Myles, Alex Bennet, Rida Ahmed, Merredith Cully, Jonathan Lo, Bryn Howells (for Richie Wu).

Abstaining: Millie Dacre.

Against: Aiden Depiazzi, Francois Schiefler, Cameron Payne (for Rebecca Lawrence).

8.8 That Council approves the 2014 Annual Guild Election Timetable.

Moved: Tom Henderson; Seconded: Sam Shipley.

Tom deferred his speaking rights to Wayne. Wayne said point of the motion is that we may present the West Australian Electoral Commission with a Council-endorsed election timetable, according to the current election regulations.

Aiden asked given the plethora of potential regulation changes at the moment, could we amend this as we go? He said for example, one recommendation from WAEC was to shift the dates of the ballot draw and of policy nominations. Wayne said there is some ability to alter and re-endorse the timetable back through Council and the Guild was in that position in the last year. He said he would defer changes to the WA Electoral Commission's discretion.

The motion was put. **Motion 8.8 passed unanimously.**

Sam moved a procedural motion to consider item 8.9. Motion passed. Normal procedure of meeting then resumed.

8.9 That Council acknowledges and thanks the various parties responsible for the Guild Consolidation Project coming to fruition, including the 96th and 97th Guild Councils for their foresight, the 100th and 101st Guild Councils, Dom Rose, Emma Greeney, Cameron Barnes, Maddie Mulholland, Tom Henderson, Jonathan Zahra, Wayne Howells, the architects – Ferguson Architects, Project Manager – Harry Glanc, the University of Western Australia Executive including the current and past Vice-Chancellor for supporting us with funding, UWA Facilities Management and everyone else that helped in any way.

Moved: Sam Shipley; Seconded: Owen Myles.

Sam moved a procedural motion to waive notice requirements. Motion passed.

Maddie moved an amendment:

"That Council acknowledges and thanks the various parties responsible for the Guild Consolidation Project coming to fruition, including the 96th-101st Guild Councils, Dom Rose, Emma Greeney, Cameron Barnes, Maddie Mulholland, Tom Henderson, Jonathan Zahra, Wayne Howells, the architects – Ferguson Architects, Project Manager – Harry Glanc, the University of Western Australia Executive including the current and past Vice-Chancellor for supporting us with funding, UWA Facilities Management and everyone else that helped in any way."

The motion was put. **Motion 8.9 passed unanimously as amended.**

9.0 GENERAL BUSINESS

10.0 CLOSE / NEXT MEETING

The next meeting will be held on Wednesday 28th May 2014 at 6.00pm. Please contact the Guild Secretary (secretary@guild.uwa.edu.au) with apologies or proxies. All office bearers will be available from 5.30pm. If unable to attend, please advise which dates you are available to reschedule if a quorum cannot be met.