



1. WELCOME AND OPENING

1.1 Acknowledgement of Country

1.2 Attendance

Nikhita Talluri (NT)
Charlie Backshall (CB)
Oliver Barrett (OB)
Annika Leunig (AL)
Aidan Kirby-Smith (AKS)
Ritika Menon (RM)
Jay Williams (JW)
Julia Suffell (JS)
Kassidy Anderson (KA)
Jeffrey Tang (JT)
Ruby Membry (RMe)
Ethan Tan (ET)
Naveen Nimalan (NN)
Katrina Soares (KS)
Selina Al Ansari (SA)
Jude Kamalddin (JK)
Rama Sugiartha (RS)
Lucinda Bartlett (LB)
Olivia Stronach (OS)
Archit Menon (AM)
Ayush Kalyanpur (AK)
Blake Barisani (BB)
Rehaab Fatima (RF)
Jorja Cowan (JCo)
Insiah Riaz (IR)
Tony Goodman (TG)
Mutya Maragiot-Joseph (MMJ)
Jack Spagnuolo (JSp)
Luke Aldersade (Observer)
Ben Negus (Observer)
Jack Cross (Observer)



1.3 Apologies

Archana Ashok (AA)
Casey Taylor (CT)
Reihaneh Rezagholilou (RR)
Lachy Flavel (LF)
Finn Penter (FP)
Ojaswi Shrestha (OJS)

1.4 Proxies

IR for AA
JCo for CT
RF for RR
BB for LF
AK for OJS

1.5 Absent

2. DECLARATION OF POTENTIAL OR PERCEIVED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

NT moves a procedural to item 10.

3. CONFIRMATION OF PREVIOUS MINUTES

- 3.1 September Council Minutes**
- 3.2 October Special Council Meeting Minutes**
- 3.3 Ordinary General Meeting 2025 Minutes**
- 3.4 Committee Minutes**

All minutes were passed by council.

4. BUSINESS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES

5. BUSINESS COMPLETED VIA CIRCULAR

6. DIRECTORS' REPORTS

- 6.1 Managing Director**
- 6.2 Finance Director**
- 6.3 Commercial**
- 6.4 Student Services**
- 6.5 Marketing Reports**



6.6 Venture Student Innovation Centre

TG gives a broad update on governance and operations, noting that many revised Guild regulations and policies are expected to come to the November Council and have been reviewed by lawyers, governance, and executive members. He outlines ongoing committee restructures, upcoming training and conferences, and various operational matters such as organising another busy bee for excess furniture, progressing the Guild master plan, and confirming that funding for the Cameron Hall lift has been approved with construction likely around 2026–2027. TG also mentions department elections, work underway on a business case for cabin air conditioning, and several operational policies being updated across finance, marketing, and other areas. He says large volumes of material will be circulated early for councillors to review and invites questions.

JSp notes that their council report has been submitted and invites questions, saying there is a lot of material in the business cases and previous reports that councillors may want to discuss. They explain that the commercial area is undertaking significant work to strengthen performance and position the Guild well for the future. JSp says they are happy to answer questions from current or earlier reports and encourages councillors to raise anything that needs clarification.

7. QUESTIONS TIME – Directors’ Reports

NN notes that the previous month’s report showed lower tavern sales and says they are glad to see sales starting to recover, though still below expected levels. They refer to the mention of higher wage costs despite wages being close to budget and ask whether the issue is a general downturn in the tavern or simply normal fluctuations in customer numbers returning to usual patterns.

JSp explains that tavern sales have been lower than expected despite the refurbishment, likely because fewer events were held this year compared with last year’s busy Wednesday schedule. MMJ adds that the tavern’s low pricing, especially on food such as pizzas, has created significant losses, with food accounting for around 60 percent of the deficit. They note that high-volume promotions like the daily seven-dollar deals increase labour costs without improving profitability, and that the tavern has not adjusted prices in line with market increases. JSp resumes by saying the Guild will need to rethink pricing and promotions next year, shifting towards a strategy where student prices remain reasonable while greater profit comes from function bookings



supported by recent upgrades like the air conditioning, which they expect will improve performance moving forward.

MMJ reports that September ended with a positive income of about \$5,900, significantly better than the projected loss of \$4,900. They note that functions performed close to target, missing by only around \$1,000, while outlets and catering fell well short due to lower revenue and a wave of staff resignations that increased recruitment and training costs, resulting in higher labour expenses. MMJ adds that Education Council and the PSA had not yet distributed their grants, so slight variances are expected once those payments are reflected.

Motion to move into camera passes. Procedural motion to move to item 12 passes.

All Directors' reports passed.

8. STUDENT REPORTS

8.1 Guild President: Nikhita Talluri

as tabled

8.2 Guild Vice-President: Oliver Barrett

as tabled

8.3 Guild General Secretary: Annika Leunig

as tabled

8.4 Chair of Guild Council: Aidan Kirby-Smith

as read

AKS says their report is as submitted and notes that all departments have now received the new rules template. They explain that a regulations folder was included in the agenda pack, but updated versions were delayed because edits came back from Chloe Keller while AKS was busy with assignments and the council meeting occurred a week earlier than expected. AKS says the updated regulations will instead be brought to the next council meeting, giving councillors a full month to read them in advance so nothing comes as a surprise.

8.5 Education Council President: Jay Williams

as tabled

8.6 Societies Council President: Ethan Tan

as read

ET congratulates Vignesh and Archit from ISD for their outstanding work on the recent Diwali event, noting that they organised a major celebration with



nearly a thousand attendees and more than 500 meals despite having only four weeks to plan. ET says it was a huge achievement and that Archit and the rest of the team deserve recognition for their hard work.

8.7 Public Affairs Council President: Aimee Chan

as tabled

8.8 Women's Officer: Ruby Membry

as tabled

8.9 Wellbeing Officer: Jeffrey Tang

as tabled

8.10 Postgraduates Students' Association President:

Charlie Backshall

as read

CB notes their report is as read and congratulates Jay Williams on being elected as their successor, saying they are proud of the work he has been doing. They also remind councillors that tickets for PSA Ball are still on sale and offer to provide a discount code to anyone interested.

8.11 International Students' Department President:

Archit Menon

as read

AM says their report is as read and thanks everyone who contributed to recent events, including Diwali team, OJ, Selina and deputy vice chancellor team, noting their support and help with setup and pack-down. AM adds that considering everything was organised with only four weeks' notice, the event turned out very well and expresses appreciation to all involved.

8.12 Residential Students' Department President

Submitted late WRC

8.13 WASAC Chair

Ashleigh Petkovic

Not submitted WRC

8.14 Environment Officer: Julia Suffell

as read

JS says a big congrats for the Diwali event.

8.15 Sports Officer: Damien Zhang

as tabled

8.16 Access Department: Lucinda Bartlett

as tabled

8.17 Pride Officer: Aryaman Bhoosrheddy & Ashel Siby

Submitted late WRC



8.18 Ethnocultural Officers: Selina Al Ansari &
Reihaneh Rezagholilou

as tabled

8.19 Volunteering Chair: Casey Taylor

as tabled

8.20 OGCs: *as follows*

Archana Ashok

Submitted late WRC

Finn Penter

as tabled

Jude Kamalddin

as tabled

Kassidy Anderson

as tabled

Katrina Soares

as tabled

Lachy Flavel

as tabled

Naveen Nimalan

as tabled

Ojaswi Shrestha

as tabled

Olivia Stronach

as tabled

Ritika Menon

submitted late WRC

Rama Sugiatha

as tabled

All student reports passed.

9. QUESTIONS TIME – Student Reports

RS brings up the question on notice from last council. RS asks KA what has she done on her time on council. KA says they have contributed to the communities they are part of, particularly through SRC and student services work, even if not all tasks were included in their written report. They explain that while they may not always have large



projects, they consistently help where needed, such as assisting with events and view this ongoing support as an important part of being on OGC. KA adds that their involvement varies month to month due to other commitments, including working two jobs and participating in another community, but they continue to contribute when they can and invite others to reach out if they need help.

LB asks NT about the ferry location terminal and if they can know anything about it. NT replies that they have been involved in consultation of the ferry terminal but it will likely be in front of the guild. OS asks if that will impact the tavern. NT and TG respond that there hasn't been a final decision but it will most likely not affect the tavern and only potentially some of the student parking.

All student reports were passed by council.

10. BUSINESS FROM THE EXECUTIVE

10.1 The 112th Guild Council

- a) Acknowledges that there has been uncertainty regarding potential and perceived conflicts of interest, including but not limited to related party transactions; and
- b) Directs the Finance Director and Managing Director to develop a policy to address this.

Moved: Nikhita Talluri

Seconded: Annika Leunig

NT says that the Managing Director recently raised a complaint about possible conflicts of interest within the Guild. This started a wider conversation about grey areas and whether people fully understand what counts as a conflict. Because of this, NT explains that they have drafted a new policy to help prevent similar issues in the future. They note that the document being handed out is very draft, put together over the last few days, and has not yet been approved by Governance. It is only for reference at this stage.

NT also mentions that a few Guild Council members have been involved in situations that could be seen as potential conflicts of interest. They emphasise that these were honest mistakes with no bad intentions, and they ask everyone to be respectful when discussing or questioning the matter.



KA provides context and lets the council know that they provided DJ services for the Guild Wall after being approached by the events team. They were happy to help, but did not realise it could be an issue because the request came directly from Guild staff. KA acknowledges that it should have been disclosed and expresses willingness to reimburse the required amount. They conclude on that note before continuing.

NT adds that TG and MMJ have discussed this issue together and decided that they should not stop a guild councillor from providing a service however, there needs to be oversight or process to ensure it is done properly. NT further adds that they have looked at the guidelines from ACNC to direct this policy that they have drafted.

MMJ says that because the Guild is a not-for-profit under the ACNC, any related party transactions must be disclosed in both the annual report and the financial statements. For an organisation of this size, only material transactions need to be reported, but any goods or services provided by or to the Guild involving a related party still require disclosure and formal approval. MMJ explains that since Guild Council has not delegated approval authority to any committee, all related party transactions must currently be approved by Guild Council. They suggest that in future, Council could delegate this responsibility to SRC, possibly with a set financial limit, to streamline the process. They use the DJ example to illustrate that while the service was valid and at market rate, it still required approval because the person was a related party. Going forward, approval should occur before the transaction. MMJ adds that a register of all related party transactions will be created and made available to councillors so they can stay informed and ask questions if needed.

JS says she wants to declare a conflict of interest. She recently started a small business, and Ruby invited her to set up a stall at a women's department event so she could practise running it and get content for her first market. She explains that she was not paid, she offered a discount to attendees, and neither her name nor any Guild department branding was promoted as part of the collaboration. JS says she believed she was acting separately from her role as a councillor, but now understands that was a wrong assumption. She apologises for the oversight and for any concerns caused.

NT adds that for JS case, it was more of a grey area but they still wanted to raise and declare it in council.



JT says they want to declare a conflict of interest and apologise, explaining they did not realise there was an issue at the time. They reached out to Cuppabeats on 8 September to perform at a Wellbeing Week event because the group was trending, and all communication was done through their official email with one of the owners other than Jonathan. JT knew that Jonathan, the incoming SOC president, was a partner in the business but did not think it was a conflict since the booking happened before his election and they dealt with a different partner. The \$800 cost seemed reasonable given the equipment, DJs involved and the fact that the event was approved by ISD, Ethno, NT, Tony and Finance. JT apologises for the oversight and notes that Jonathan has offered to refund the amount he earned.

NT adds that for this case, it was difficult to determine if this was okay as Jonathan at the time had not been elected into council yet, but now he has so that it why they decided to create a policy to mitigate these things in the future.

TG says that he, Muti and HR have been working urgently in the background to understand the situation and develop supporting documents to go alongside the draft policy. He stresses the need for transparency around related party transactions and notes that the issue extends beyond Council, raising questions about practices in clubs and societies more broadly. TG explains that conflicts depend on whether someone personally benefits and whether the arrangement is in the Guild's best interest, using the DJ example to show that a service can still be valid but must be declared. He says a register will help ensure transparency and emphasises that councillors can still provide services if it is appropriate, fairly priced and properly disclosed. TG concludes by noting that governance and policy updates are already underway and encourages awareness and clarity for incoming councils.

QUESTIONS

LB asks if the policy will be backdated for the current councillors now. NT clarifies this is just moving forward and will not be backdated. LB asks for clarification of items of monetary value. TG and MMJ clarify that theres a difference between being invited to an event and receiving a reimbursement of some kind for your work. MMJ adds that the most important thing is that they are notified of it so they can let you know whether or not it is acceptable. LB adds that they are happy this is coming to council.

OS asks if it is worth exploring to expand this policy to being an employee of the university. OS expresses that student reps need to be comfortable with sometimes



having to oppose the university and that employment under the university could effect this. OS adds an example that Curtin has this policy in place. NT rebuts that being employed by the university is very convenient to students and so many people on council are employed by the university.

TG says that councillors need to be clear about what counts as a conflict of interest and declare it upfront, even for general employment such as working at the university. He explains that simply being an employee, even if unrelated to the matter at hand, should still be disclosed in good faith. TG adds that when someone has a conflict, they should remove themselves from the discussion and avoid commenting on the issue to maintain transparency and fairness.

NT says that the reason why Curtin may be able to have that policy in place over UWA guild is that their councillors are paid. However recognises that employment can still create situations that should be disclosed. They note that many workplaces and boards require members to declare conflicts at the start of the year and keep a register and suggest the Guild may need something similar. NT explains that declaring university employment would not necessarily exclude someone from discussions, but it ensures everyone is aware of potential conflicts.

OS says they support having a standing conflicts-of-interest register because people's jobs or affiliations can subtly influence their contributions in discussions, even if unintentionally. They give the example of political staffers, who may feel unable to speak against their employer, and note similar debates at the university level. OS emphasises that such a register should be accessible to council members (not the public) so everyone can disclose comfortably and be on the same page, especially in councils where not everyone knows each other well. They add that Curtin also does this for unpaid members and express the view that if someone's university employment creates unavoidable conflicts, they may need to consider whether they can realistically balance that with being on council.

NT adds that she is mindful many people on our council are employed by the university and she doesn't believe this has hindered their role as a guild councillor so far.

LB says they are concerned that university employment can create incentives that favour certain student representatives, since the university may offer jobs to those it prefers, giving them more availability and influence. They explain that they personally



declined offers of compensation and a part-time role from the university last year because they believed it was not advisable to accept payment from the institution they are meant to hold accountable. LB says they were disappointed to later learn that several councillors had accepted gift cards, and they argue that employment or financial benefits from the university should be publicly disclosed. They add that, as student representatives, councillors should be transparent about any financial ties to student life or the university.

TG explains that there is an important difference between minor incentives, like small gift cards for participation, and formal employment by the university, which involves a contract and a much stronger transactional relationship. He says that while small tokens are less concerning, being on a university salary creates a clear conflict that must be handled carefully. TG notes that councillors should declare conflicts at the beginning of meetings and step out of discussions if their employment is directly relevant to a motion. He adds that some situations require judgement, but good governance means recognising when a conflict is significant enough to limit participation, and he agrees that in LB's earlier example, choosing not to take the university role was likely the right call.

LB says that while gift cards may seem small, they can actually amount to significant compensation when given regularly for work group meetings, making the line between appreciation and paid work unclear. She notes that the process for deciding which work groups receive gift cards is opaque and depends on what the university views as "productive" feedback, which makes the system difficult to track or justify. LB argues that the university should not rely on gift cards at all and should instead come through the Guild for student input. She adds that compensation risks undermining the role of student representatives and raises the question of where the line is drawn when a single \$50 gift card could eventually become hundreds or even thousands over a year.

AKS says that, based on previous discussions in April, councillors generally should not receive compensation for performing their Guild roles, such as attending working groups, because that creates a direct benefit linked to their position. They explain that unrelated university employment, like tutoring, is different because it is not gained through the Guild role. AKS notes that problems arise when compensation or job offers appear connected to someone's Guild position, especially if it is unclear whether they would have been offered the opportunity otherwise. They suggest that this is where a clear line should be drawn to avoid conflicts.



LB questions academic jobs, tutoring, ambassadorship, consulting and other opportunities in the university. TG says that conflicts of interest depend on the issue at hand, giving the example that if the Guild is discussing or taking a stance on academic staffing cuts, a councillor who is a tutor should not participate because their employment directly relates to the matter. He adds that even offering context drawn from that role is inappropriate, as it still reflects the conflict. TG emphasises that councillors need to recognise these situations as they arise and step back when necessary, using good judgement to decide when their involvement would compromise proper governance.

OS suggests that the Guild should consider tighter oversight for higher-value spending within departments, noting that current processes may not require enough visibility on how larger amounts are approved. They point out that while expenditures over \$500 already require approval from the Guild President or Managing Director, significant costs like the \$800 Cuppabeats booking show that some decisions might benefit from additional scrutiny or being reported to Council. OS argues that knowing about major spending upfront prevents the surprise and concern that arise when councillors only discover it afterwards, and that a more rigorous or transparent process would help maintain trust and accountability.

NT suggests improving financial reporting so Council has clearer oversight of significant expenses. Instead of only providing a screenshot of department finances, NT proposes that any single expense over \$500 should be briefly noted in the report with an explanation of what the money was spent on. They explain that current reports lack context and are hard to interpret unless someone is tracking them month to month, so adding this simple detail would make spending more transparent without overloading Council agendas.

TG says that while transparency and declaring conflicts are essential, councillors should not feel restricted from being creative or running events, projects and activities. He emphasises that the Guild produces much of the university's student life and should be proud of that work, but members must ensure they are not personally profiting from their roles. TG explains that accountability is mostly about clear financial conduct and avoiding personal gain, while still allowing departments to innovate and contribute.



RMe asks about how she was offered a role as an RA and that she was asked a lot about her role on guild council when applying. RMe asks if this an issue. NT clarifies that this is a part of your resume, so it not a conflict of interest. RMe asks if this is the same then with jobs in the university? NT explains it is a bit of a grey area as if you had previously met the staff member in a meeting on council then it could potentially be seen as a conflict of interest.

AKS says that as the document develops, the main point is that employment connected to the university, such as being an RA, should be declared. They explain that taking a job like this is not an issue, but if the Guild discusses something involving colleges or the RSD, councillors should note that their employment could influence their perspective. NT adds that making this declaration does not stop someone from contributing to the discussion. It simply ensures everyone is aware of any potential bias so conversations remain transparent.

NN says the draft is strong but asks whether it should also address situations where someone is not yet a Guild councillor at the time they receive a contract but later enters the Guild environment, creating a grey area. They note that for people in events or creative industries, being hired by the Guild can provide both branding benefits and financial gain, which may become relevant once they take on a Guild role. NN wonders whether returning any monetary benefit or having clearer rules for these cases would help. They also raise questions about how to judge whether services beyond DJs are competitively priced and whether the policy should consider people who are about to hold Guild positions, such as the incoming SOC president.

NT says that although someone is not yet on Council, they become accountable to conflict-of-interest rules as soon as they are elected, even before officially taking office. TG adds that a clear rule may be needed stating that once nominations open, candidates should not be buying from or supplying services to the Guild. He explains that it would be difficult and unnecessary to prohibit work before nominations open, but once a person is running for office, a buffer period or restriction makes sense. TG says this would need to be written into Guild regulations and suggests governance could consider options such as preventing candidates from supplying services for a set period, with the simplest principle being that no such work should occur once nominations begin.



OS says that even when no money is exchanged, using Guild platforms like department social media to promote a councillor's personal business can still create the perception of unfair benefit. They note that influencer-style promotion has real value, and not every student would be allowed the same access to Guild marketing channels, so these situations should be recognised as potential conflicts even if they are unpaid. OS adds that this kind of visibility can look inappropriate to students and risks creating the impression that councillors use the Guild for personal gain.

DEBATE

FOR: OB speaks in support of the motion, saying it is clear from the discussion why a policy like this is necessary. They add that having formal guidance on conflicts of interest is simply common sense and an important step for the Guild.

FOR: RS says they support the motion, calling the policy important for helping councillors understand their responsibilities as the governing body. They note that many councillors are students new to governance, so having written guidance is useful for knowing what is allowed.

FOR: RMe speaks for the motion, saying it is important and that clearer guidance would have been helpful earlier. They add that they enjoyed working with Julia at an event and would like to collaborate again outside their Guild Council role.

FOR: JW supports the motion, calling it a positive step that will clarify expectations for current and future student representatives. He stresses that none of the councillors acted with ill intent, highlights the hard volunteer work councillors do, and says mistakes will be addressed without diminishing their contributions.

FOR: OS also supports the motion, saying it provides needed clarity for councillors who oversee significant budgets, especially those new to such responsibilities. They add that more training during Guild Council transition would be useful and that this is a good opportunity for self-reflection and improvement.

Motion passed. Welfare break was taken.

10.2 The 112th Guild Council approves the related party transaction concerning Guild Council member Kassidy Anderson for DJ services provided to the Guild for the Guild



Ball and End of semester party of a figure of \$300 on the dates of 3rd and 18th of October.

Moved: Nikhita Talluri

Seconded: Annika Leunig

Motion passed.

10.3 The 112th Guild Council approves the related party transaction concerning incoming Guild Council member Jonathan Tay for being a partial owner of CuppaBeats which provided entertainment services to the Guild of a figure of \$800 on the 26th of September.

Moved: Nikhita Talluri

Seconded: Annika Leunig

QUESTIONS

NN asks if we know what the market rate for Cuppa beats type event is? KA answers there isn't really a market rate for DJs and it depends on popularity. JT also lets everyone know it was about a 3 hour long event for \$800. AL adds that it seems lower than usual for a popular event as her experience as President of A.S.I.A meant they were hiring DJs that costed between \$80-350 an hour depending on popularity.

Motion passed. Moved back to item 3.

11. MOTIONS ON NOTICE (OPERATIONS)

11.1 The 112th Guild Council endorses Ellie Fisher and Kaitlyn Mann as the 2026 Pelican Editors.

Moved: Nikhita Talluri

Seconded: Annika Leunig

NT addresses that these are the pelican editors and they are very cool. AL adds that she is sure they will do a great job for next year.

DEBATE



FOR: RMe speaks on how she is very excited as Ellie Fisher has been the editor of the Damsel magazine for the past 2 years and so Ruby is excited to see what they do with Pelican.

Motion passes.

11.2 The 112th Guild Council endorses Hannah Bygrave for PROSH director and Xavier Anthony for deputy for 2026.

Moved: Nikhita Talluri

Seconded: Annika Leunig

NT says how Hannah and Xavier will do a great job and she is keen to have them on board. AL adds that she believes Hannah will be a great PROSH director and although she doesn't know Xavier personally, she is sure they will do a great job.

QUESTIONS

NN asks if they will get 'cooked' from all the pressure from this year. AKS explains that the structure has been changed to address previous issues, with the motion introducing a clear hierarchy of a head director and a deputy director instead of a shared role, ensuring a single line of responsibility. They note that new cross-rules outlining expectations and accountability have been drafted, though edits prevented them from being fully tabled at this meeting. AKS adds that these rules, along with an updated manual, greatly expand on the previous brief regulations and now provide detailed guidance to support safer, more functional department operations.

OS adds a general question for pelican and if that is going to shift away from co-editors too? AKS says they had discussed with Jack and Zoe the idea of moving away from the co-editor model, but the updated rules were not passed in time and interviews had already begun, making changes difficult for this cycle. They note that Pelican faces similar structural issues, and that for the same reasons the CROSS team now has a defined head and deputy, it would be challenging to make comparable changes without the new regulations in place.

DEBATE

FOR: RS speaks for the motion and talks about how both Hannah and Xavier are really funny but also know when to not go too far.



FOR: JS talks about how she has previously worked with Hannah to run a quiz night and she was exceptional and she will do a great job. JS also adds that Xavier is an absolute hustler.

FOR: OS mentions she doesn't know Xavier personally but Hannah is really funny and she is looking forward to what both of them will do next year.

Motion passes.

12. MOTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE (OPERATIONS)

12.1 The 112th Guild accepts the business case for the Tavern cooling system installation on the recommendation of the strategic resources committee and accepts the figure associated.

Moved: Nikhita Talluri

Seconded: Ritika Menon

NT explains that the business cases only came through recently. TG adds that they need to pass this month ideally.

DEBATE

LB speaks for the motion and says she loves to see the guild make their buildings more accessible and she is excited.

Motion passes.

12.2 The 112th Guild accepts the new lease for tenancy FB11 in the refectory on the recommendation of the strategic resources committee.

Moved: Nikhita Talluri

Seconded: Jay Williams

Motion passed.

13. MOTIONS ON NOTICE (REPRESENTATION)

14. MOTION WITHOUT NOTICE (REPRESENTATION)

15. GENERAL BUSINESS



ET thanks Rhedyn, who is leaving the Guild, for her significant contributions to social media and posting work, and expresses appreciation for everything she has done. ET also notes that Joseph from Guild Volunteering is moving to Melbourne next week and thanks him for his efforts coordinating volunteering programs. They mention that a farewell gathering will be held at the tavern on Friday at 2 pm and encourage councillors to attend if they can to show their appreciation.

LB congratulates Hayley for Pride and Blake for Access on being elected unopposed, noting they have been eagerly waiting for the outcome. LB says their election is exciting for both departments, as both incoming leaders are highly experienced and deeply engaged in their communities and offers congratulations to them both.

JW says that, as Tony mentioned earlier, the Guild is exploring ways to refresh and better utilise committees for next year. He explains that he, Ollie and Nikki have begun brainstorming, and invites councillors to share any ideas about committees that could be improved or used more effectively. JW adds that they hope to finalise this work before committee appointments are made in December.

16. CLOSE AND NEXT MEETING

The next meeting is scheduled for **6pm Wednesday November 26th 2025**. Please contact the Guild General Secretary (secretary@guild.uwa.edu.au) with apologies or proxies. If unable to attend, please advise which dates you are available to reschedule if a quorum cannot be met.