



1.0 WELCOME AND OPENING

1.1 Attendance

Megan Lee, James Leipold, Yerim Won, Conrad Hogg, Peter Watson, Kate Fletcher, Yanjia Song, Ben Perry, Jacob Colangelo, Su Sugandha, Bradan Sonnendecker, Ivan Roncevich, Anthea Lui, Dylan Perkins, Jacob Fowler, Molly Goldacre, Maddie Hedderwick, Nevin Jayawardena, Raaghav Raj,

1.2 Apologies

Jade Mawby, Desiree Tan, Jayne-Rae Whitby

1.3 Proxies

Lincoln Aspinall for Pheobe Ho, Reece Gherardi for Fraser Windsor, Bre Shanahan for Joseph Chan

1.4 Observers

Thomas Coltrona, Joshua Cahill, Cormac Power, Ryan Oakley.

1.5 Absent

No absentees

2.0 DECLARATION OF POTENTIAL OR PERCEIVED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

3.0 CONFIRMATION OF PREVIOUS MINUTES

Molly moves a procedural motion to confirm Guild Council Minutes from the 22.2.2018.
PASSED.

4.0 BUSINESS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES

None

5.0 DIRECTOR'S REPORT

5.1 Managing Director's Report

Tony says that the Guild Risk and Audit Committee Meeting is this month where the Guild accounts are signed off. Tony says that if any students have any questions they are encouraged to come and talk to Guild staff as the Guild has an open-door policy. Tony says that there are some major audits underway being the finance, events and occupational health and safety audit and all three have come back successful. Tony says that the Ref has been progressing quite well and that election regulations are updated and ready to go to the University Senate shortly.



5.2 Finance Director's Report

Mutya says that once Guild Council approves the financial statements with Deloitte's audit report these will be signed by all of the relevant people within the Guild. EY did give the Guild some recommendations, and there was one 'medium risk' within their report. EY hasn't yet given their full report. Mutya adds that the new payroll system is in the final stage of implementation and the final tests are being completed now and it should be completed by mid-April.

The month of February was successful for the Guild with an income of \$99000 as opposed to a budgeted loss of \$73000. For 'year-to-date' numbers the Guild is at an income of \$33000. The catering outlets have performed well with improvements made in 'year-to-date' and actual income figures doing better than expected.

Mutya says that the Secondhand Bookshop are having much better sales than expected with an income of \$24000 instead of a budgeted loss of \$1800. Mutya says that for most of the Student services departments, most are under budget because invoices still need to come in. Once this happens Mutya expects the departments to be on budget. The cash reserves are lower than usual at the moment due to spending on the Refectory Project, but once the first SSAF payment comes in during April this should be somewhat replenished.

5.3 Associate Director of Commercial's Report

Jack discusses the Refectory, and says that it is very close to the end of construction and the final aspects that need to be completed are the joinery works, the seating, and lighting. The Guild is waiting for the final two shipments of furniture. The opening is potentially the 16th of April with a 'soft-launch'. Jack says that by the end of April, the Guild can begin work to procure six new tenants for the space. Jack talks about Guild Village and the changes are potentially getting underway with Co-Op. Co-Op is wanting a smaller space and this is something that is on the cards at the moment, however, heavy construction isn't a current option at the moment.

5.4 Associate Director of Student Services' Report

Tony says that the Guild website is scheduled to be ready by roughly August and the preparation for this website is underway there. The CIO Warrick Calkin is going to help the Guild with the process of building the website and then reviewing the website for any potential flaws, and it will be for free.

Megan moves a procedural motion to move into 'in-camera' discussion.
CARRIED.

IN-CAMERA DISCUSSION



Molly moves a procedural motion to move out of 'in-camera'.
CARRIED.

Megan moves a procedural motion to accept the Director's Reports.
ACCEPTED.

Megan moves a procedural motion to move to motion without notice 13.3.

6.0 QUESTIONS

Megan asks if from the Events audit, did EY give any recommendations on the processes of the Guild?

Tony says that there was very minimal recommendations on the processes of the Guild when running events, and that there were only very minor issues.

Nevin asks what will happen to the existing website once the new website is created?

Tony says they will be taken down.

Nevin says that by his understanding the website can't be taken down due to the specific server?

Tony says that he is unsure about this and it will have to be looked into.

Peter asks why the secondhand bookshop did well?

Jack says that there are a lot more books than usual and students are buying them. Megan adds that the 'books' help the Guild make a profit.

Conrad asks if the 'book fair' had anything to do with increase in sales?

Jack says yes, the 'book fair' did help.

Conrad asks if he is able to promote to Faculty Societies that they can put their merchandise within the Book Shop?

Jack says yes this would be an available option.



7.0 ELECTIONS

- 7.1 Catering & Tavern Committee (Three positions)
Isaac Ng and Michael Barblett elected. No female or non-binary student nominated for this position so nominations will be re-opened for the April Guild Council Meeting.
- 7.2 Student Services Committee (Two positions)
Zxeng-Lindt See and Xin Yu Ye elected.
- 7.3 Corporate Services Committee (Two positions)
Joanna Go and Lynn Sng elected.
- 7.4 Governance Committee (Three positions)
Sharon Ndjibu, Mike Anderson, Lachlan Waller elected.
- 7.5 Welfare & Advocacy Committee (Two positions)
Lachlan Waller and Florentina Iwansantoso elected.
- 7.6 Equity & Diversity Chair
Megan Lee nominates Dylan Perkins. Dylan is elected unopposed.
- 7.7 Equity & Diversity Committee (Two positions)
Sharon Ndjibu and Tim Hebbard elected.
- 7.8 Volunteering & Community Engagement Committee (Two positions)
Zxeng-Lindt See and Nur Sabrina Binti Babe Azaman
- 7.9 Publications Committee (Two members of Guild Council, one of whom must be female)
Megan Lee nominates Kate Fletcher and Nevin Jayawardena. Kate Fletcher and Nevin Jayawardena are elected unopposed.

Megan moves a procedural motion to appoint Tony Goodman as the Returning Officer.
MOTION CARRIED.

8.0 MOTIONS ON NOTICE (OPERATIONS)

- 8.1 The 105th Guild Council endorse the 2018 Action plan as the part of The Partnership agreement between the Guild and the University.
Moved: Megan Lee; Seconded: Nevin Jayawardena.

Megan says that last year the Guild passed a partnership between the Guild and the University. Professor Sally Varnham at UTS has been developing a project called 'Students as Partners' which is working with universities to ensure student leaders are working with



the university. Megan says there is a lot of things that the Guild doesn't have control over or doesn't have enough money to have an impact on. Megan says the document has been effective for ensuring student representation is implemented at UWA. Megan says that other universities that don't have a well-established Guild, have partnership agreements which are detailed and have KPIs. Megan says that this is different to our Guild because we are more well established and it didn't suit the UWA Student Guild's goals. Megan adds that herself, the DVCE, and the Executive Director have discussed the strategic direction that they want to take the Partnership and have developed the Action Plan for the Partnership. David Sadler the DVCE has gone to the University Executive for approval so Megan is now asking Guild Council for approval.

Nevin says that as always Megan has hit the nail on the head and he has nothing further to add.

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY

- 8.2 The 105th Guild Council thank the 2018 PROSH Directors, Editors and Head Marshals for an incredible PROSH 2018.
Moved: Megan Lee; Seconded: Jacob Fowler

Megan says that she would like to say that the turnout for this year's PROSH was the best she has ever seen in her five years on campus. Megan says she only received two complaints about the newspaper and they were about Jacob C making fun of himself, so overall this has been a win for students.

Jacob F says well done to Jacob C.

Jim asks how much money was raised?

Jacob C says that money is still being raised with Albany PROSH coming up and donations are still being received online. Jacob C there are still more ways to raise money.

Megan says that she has also written to Dawn Freshwater the Vice Chancellor, as the total is nearing \$50000 and Megan will be asking for a donation to get over \$50000.

Jacob C moves an amendment to include 'staff' within the motion.

Megan says the amendment is friendly.

The motion now reads 'The 105th Guild Council thank the 2018 PROSH Directors, Editors Head Marshals, and staff for an incredible PROSH 2018.'



Conrad says good job to everyone.

For: Megan Lee; James Leipold, Yerim Won, Conrad Hogg, Bre Shanahan, Lincoln Aspinall, Peter Watson, Adhish Kastha, Jacob Fowler, Raaghav Raj, Nevin Jayawardena, Kate Fletcher, Yanjia Song, Kristel Li, Ben Perry, Su Sugandha, Ivan Roncevich, Anthea Lui.

Against: None

Abstaining: Jacob Colangelo

MOTION PASSES

9.0 MOTIONS ON NOTICE (SPECIAL GENERAL MEETING RECOMMENDATIONS)

9.1 The UWA Student Guild:

- a) Will conduct a security audit by external auditors of the new website.
- b) Present a report of the findings of the audit detailing their findings at the earliest Guild Council Meeting after the completion of the audit report.

Moved: Megan Lee; Seconded: Su Sugandha.

Megan says that it makes sense that the audit is conducted on the new website that will be arriving in August this year. Megan says that she would rather there is someone looking over the new website, and then having a report presented to Guild Council and then the Semester 2 OGM.

Tony says that the Guild has approached four different companies and the CIO of UWA. Most of the groups spoken to were unsure if an audit were necessary. One of the costs that came back would be roughly \$30000, and two other companies said that \$7000-\$10000 would be the likely cost of an audit. Tony says that after the explanation was given of the personal information that the Guild website holds, being data from an EMP, the companies said they were unsure why an audit was required because the data wasn't overly confidential like for example 'credit card' information. Tony says that the SRC will have to do costings for an audit within the budget.

Tony says at the end of the day, Guild Council should consider what needs to be done with the future website. Tony says that the Guild's door is always open and is happy to hear of any advice or tips about how to improve the website services of the Guild.

Su reminds everyone that if anyone has any ideas or thoughts about how to improve the Guild website, to direct them to her so that they can have as much student input as possible.



MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY EN BLOC WITH 9.3 (NOW 9.2)

- 9.2 The UWA Student Guild will establish a process to promptly deal with security vulnerabilities.

Moved: Megan Lee; Seconded: Jacob Fowler

MOTION WITHDRAWN.

- 9.3 The UWA Student Guild will establish a cyber security policy such that:
- The security of all Internet services hosted by the UWA Student Guild are reviewed on a regular basis and,
 - The cyber security policy will include a process for notifying Guild members about security breaches.

Moved: Megan Lee; Seconded: Jacob Fowler.

Megan moves an amendment to add 'The UWA Student Guild will establish a process to promptly deal with security vulnerabilities.' to become Part C.

MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY EN BLOC WITH 9.1

- 9.4 The UWA Student Guild will where possible contact affected members to inform them that their personal information may be compromised when a data breach or security vulnerability is discovered.

Moved: Megan Lee; Seconded: Jacob Fowler

MOTION WITHDRAWN.

Tony Goodman says that there has been a lot of discussion surrounding the issue of contacting affected members that he has approached both the UWA legal team, the Guild's legal team and the CIO of UWA Warrick Calkin. Tony says that all three have said that there is no 'breach' of information. A 'breach' depends on how the data is released and the seriousness of harm. A serious breach is deemed as something that is a serious harm to someone's personal welfare. Mobile phone numbers aren't considered a serious harm as under the Australian Privacy Principles being the 'event manager' is relatable to the purposes of the collection of data. Tony says that being an event manager means that you are in the public domain, and the public figure, therefore someone's mobile phone number is considered 'reasonable use of purpose' under the APP. Tony says that this also aligns with the Guild's privacy principles as the Guild would only use personal data for a reasonably expectable purpose. Event Management Plans can be considered public or private, and that the Guild has always maintained high levels of transparency and UWA



commends the Guild's processes. Tony says that the Guild has come a long way in the processes of event management and this was demonstrated by the events audit report completed by EY. Tony says that it has been advised there will be a disclaimer that your personal phone number may be used and become public. Tony says that what it comes down to is that a phone number isn't attributable to causing personal harm and doesn't go outside what is reasonably expectable. The Guild has taken the phone numbers down and has done everything necessary to deal with this issue, and that there is no need to take the University down.

10.0 REPORTS

10.1 GUILD PRESIDENT

As tabled.

10.2 VICE PRESIDENT

Su says that her Su's Superstars for the month are Chloe Hynes and Molly Goldacre.

10.3 SECRETARY

Jacob says that the overall standard of Guild Council needs to improve.

10.4 TREASURER

Jim says that the Refectory Outlets that were picked are Utopia, Mama Chan, George's Kebabs, Guzman e Gomez, Authentic Bites, Dumpling House, Mr Munchies.

10.5 EDUCATION COUNCIL PRESIDENT

Conrad says that the exam timetable structure has changed so that 8:30am, 12:30pm and 4:30pm are gone and it is now 9:00am, 2:00pm and 4:00pm.

10.6 PAC PRESIDENT

As tabled.

10.7 SOC PRESIDENT

As tabled.

10.8 WOMENS' OFFICER

As tabled.

10.9 WELFARE OFFICER

As tabled

10.10 ENVIRONMENT OFFICER



As tabled.

10.11 ISS OFFICER
As tabled.

10.12 PRIDE DEPARTMENT
As tabled.

10.13 SPORTS OFFICER
As tabled.

10.14 PSA PRESIDENT
As tabled.

10.15 RSD PRESIDENT
As tabled.

ACCEPTED

11.0 QUESTIONS

Kate asks Conrad about the 'Class Representative System' and how the FacSocs are being notified of who is involved as the class representatives?

Conrad says that all the relevant FacSocs have the details of their representatives.

Ben asks Megan how the national NUS KPIs are going in terms of being passed by their respective Councils?

Megan says that there have been issues with USYD and ANU but a discussion has taken place and any 'Guilds' which aren't confident about the NUS KPIs approved before study break, all of the Guilds that have approved are signing and serving them to the NUS.

Raaghav asks Conrad if the exams are at 9:00am, 2:00pm and 4:00pm?

Conrad says yes, but you can't have an exam at 2:00pm and 4:00pm on the same day.

12.0 MOTIONS ON NOTICE (REPRESENTATION)

12.1 That the 105th Guild Council:

- a) Recognises the cultural sensitivities of all groups within the UWA community should be taken into consideration when inviting guests to the University;



- b) Encourages the University to liaise with the Guild to ensure all guests of the university do not unnecessarily offend or upset groups within the UWA Student Community.
 - c) Recognises the negative impact that hosting the Dalai Lama at the University has on the UWA Chinese Student Community, and therefore;
 - d) Does not support the decision to host the Dalai Lama or his representatives at UWA.
- Moved: Yanjia Song; Seconded: Kristel Li.

Yanjia Song removes Part D of the motion.

Yanjia says that the Dalai Lama came in 2015, and this had a huge impact on Chinese students. Yanjia says that even though this time it is only his representatives coming to UWA, it still raises serious concern among Chinese students. Yanjia says her motion is simply recognizing the cultural sensitivities of Chinese students.

Kristel says that if the University or the Guild hosts a guest, this could have a good influence on students. However, hosting the Dalai Lama brings the potential to negatively impact Chinese students and that the University should be aware of intercultural and diversity issues.

Su clarifies what 'negative impact' means?

Yanjia says that for a Chinese student, 'negative impact' means that the Dalai Lama supports Tibet as an independent country. However, the Dalai lama has been receiving funding from the CIA from 1950 until 1974. By receiving the third party funding this makes Tibet a political event and not a cultural movement and this offends various Chinese students. In 2015, the Dalai Lama came to UWA and this had an impact on the Chinese students of UWA. Local Chinese media during that time released articles of dissatisfaction.

Jacob F says that he isn't too sure about what is being said, but just to clarify the Dalai Lama is having a serious impact on Chinese students because he wants Tibet to be independent from China?

Yanjia says yes that this is what the Dalai Lama wants. Jacob C chimes in and says Tibet is an autonomous region in China and a region that has self-government and independence but is under the Chinese flag. Jacob C says that most Chinese people believe that Tibet shouldn't be a country but should be a part of China. Jacob C says it would be similar to having New South Wales wanting to be independent and not part of Australia but the rest of Australia wanting NSW to be part of the nation.

Conrad says that he applauds both Yanjia and Kristel for putting up the motion, however he does have concerns with how this motion interrelates with people that do hold the



believe that Tibet should be independent and people that practice Buddhism. Conrad suggests an amendment to Part C by adding at the end 'however reaffirms the freedom of political and religious thought on campus'. Yanjia says that this is a friendly amendment.

Megan asks about tightening up the wording about 'unnecessarily offending or upsetting'? Megan says that previously the university has invited people to the University that the Guild didn't like but in the interests of free political debate, the Guild didn't protest. Megan asks if there was any room to change so that political debate is still allowed, particularly when the University may invite someone that may unnecessarily offend or upset herself but she still doesn't want to limit free speech as the Guild. Conrad suggests 'substantially' as a good word instead of 'unnecessarily'. Yanjia accepts this as friendly.

The motion now reads:

12.1 The 105th Guild Council:

- a) Recognises the cultural sensitivities of all groups within the UWA community should be taken into consideration when inviting guests to the University;
- b) Encourages the University to liaise with the Guild to ensure all guests of the university do not substantially offend or upset groups within the UWA Student Community.
- c) Recognises the negative impact that hosting the Dalai Lama at the University has on the UWA Chinese Student Community, however reaffirms the freedom of political and religious thought on campus.

Moved: Yanjia Song; Seconded: Kristel Li.

Molly moves the discussion into debate.

Jacob C congratulates both Yanjia and Kristel on this motion by ensuring that all views are acknowledged.

Megan says thank you for this motion and that she is very happy with it as amended. Megan says that it is the responsibility of the Guild to show students that the Guild does support them, no matter their political view and that they feel included on this campus. Megan is conscious of the idea that the Guild is here to condemn actions but not necessarily support their thoughts as the Guild isn't the thought police.

Jim says that he has spoken to some Chinese students today, and that he has issues with Part C of the motion. Jim says that the Chinese students didn't feel the same way as Yanjia and Kristel and he is therefore worried that the motion isn't reflective of the Chinese community at UWA, or not necessarily the majority of the community.

Yanjia says that it is really hard to say that it is not the majority of the Chinese community, but the most feedback she has received has been negative towards UWA hosting the Dalai



Lama or his representatives. Yanjia says that it was good this year that only the representatives are coming and not the Dalai Lama. Yanjia says that a lot of Chinese students contacted her pre-emptively asking if it was a Guild event and that is why she put up the motion.

Kate commends Yanjia for the motion, while she is abstaining because she doesn't think the Guild should be commenting on these political issues. Kate says that it is important that we are listening to what Chinese students are saying, but it is also important we are not making a decision if it doesn't impact the Guild. However, the Guild should be listening to the students that these issues do impact so that it can then act appropriately.

Nevin suggests an amendment to Part C so that it says 'may have' instead of 'has' on the 'UWA Chinese Student community', so that the motion recognizes that not all students may have this opinion.

Kate F says that this is slightly going against the precedent that is being set as the Guild haven't spoken in these terms previously. Kate F says that previous motions may not have represented all student views on campus and therefore why should this one not stipulate that?

Megan says that those motions didn't stipulate that all students on this campus were represented by them. Megan says she understands Kate's point, but rarely motions use the wording 'all students'. Megan says she isn't naïve and understands that not everyone on campus has the same views as herself and that Nevin raises a good point because the Guild cannot assume that all Chinese students hold this view.

Yanjia accepts the amendment as friendly and Part C now reads: 'Recognises the negative impact that hosting the Dalai Lama at the University may have on the UWA Chinese Student Community, however reaffirms the freedom of political and religious thought on campus.'

Molly moves to a vote.

For: Megan Lee, Yerim Won, Conrad Hogg, Bre Shanahan, Peter Watson, Adhish Kastha, Jacob Fowler, Raaghav Raj, Nevin Jayawardena, Su Sugandha, Ivan Roncevich, Audrey Tacson, Yanjia Song, Kristel Li, Ivan Roncevich.

Against: James Leipold

Abstaining: Kate Fletcher, Jacob Colangelo, Benjamin Perry



MOTION PASSES

13.0 MOTIONS WITHOUT NOTICE

- 13.1** That the Digital Media Policy be amended to change the 7-day publishing requirements to 10 University Days.

Moved: Megan Lee; Seconded: Molly Goldacre

Megan says that the motion is without notice, is because the current policy is that audio and video content must be closed-caption or transcribed for students that are hard of hearing. Currently the 7-day period isn't a long enough period of time to transcribe a video, and the reason why it is without notice is because the OGM was yesterday. The staff aren't confident that the publishing requirements can be met within 7-days.

Guild Council votes to hear the motion.

Molly says that this hasn't gone through the Governance Committee, but this is merely a functionality and not anything substantial. Molly says that the Guild staff will be consulted more fully in the future so that harsh demands aren't being placed on them.

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY

- 13.2** That the UWA Student Guild accepts the use of CCTV security within the Refectory.

Moved: Megan Lee; Seconded: Su Sugandha

Megan says that Jack S has put in the extra capital to ensure that CCTV security footage would be available in the Refectory. However, as per the precedent set by previous Councils when CCTV has been installed in student spaces and this is why this motion is being put up.

Guild Council votes to hear the motion.

Tony says that CCTV Security adds an extra incentive for potential tenders to come onto campus.

Megan says that potential third parties that come into the Refectory will have their minds put at ease because of increased security. Megan says that this motion represents the Guild Council endorsing student's being filmed and but at the same time it is a public space like the Tavern which has CCTV. Megan doesn't see any major equity issues as everyone needs to eat, and that CCTV footage isn't widely available. It will only be made available to appropriate authorities like the police.



Jack S says that there was an issue last year where Boost was broken into and because there was no CCTV this limited what the Guild could do to deal with this. It is also security for students within the space.

Tony says that the people that could access the CCTV would be himself, Jack and the venue managers which is similar to how the Guild operates in relation to the Tavern. Tony says that the footage wouldn't be widely distributed.

Jack S says that the Tavern is a closed system, which means the University wasn't involved. Jack S says that this is slightly different as the space needs to be protected, and the Guild would therefore be under the UWA umbrella of CCTV security.

Su says that this has been supported by both the Corporate Services Committee and Strategic Resources Committee.

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY

- 13.3** That Guild Council accepts the 2017 Audited Accounts.
Moved: Jim Leipold; Seconded: Megan Lee.

Guild Council votes to hear this motion.

Jim says that this was signed off last Friday by the auditors and that is why it is being discussed now.

Mutya says that the auditors had a minor adjustment and that is why the audit wasn't received until late on Friday.

Megan asks Guild Council to approve the motion so that Megan and Jim and sign the audited accounts.

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY

- 13.4** The UWA Student Guild:
- a) reaffirms that every student in Australia has the right to a higher education, and if they wish a postgraduate education.
 - b) Condemns the Liberal Government's Higher Education Support Legislation Amendment (Student Loan Sustainability) Bill 2018
 - c) Directs the Guild President, Education Council President, and PSA President to create a press release, explaining the importance of (a) and (b), and urging senators to bury the bill and will email this press release to senators



- d) Reaffirms its commitment to the National Union of Students' campaigns against the higher education reforms and urges students to engage with WA's cross-campus protests.

Moved: Conrad Hogg; Seconded: Megan Lee

Conrad says that this motion should be heard by Council, because cuts to higher education will negatively impact students, and the reason why it is coming to Guild Council without notice is because it was only passed by the House of Representatives yesterday.

Guild Council votes to hear the motion.

Conrad amends the motion to have 'joint press release' in Part C.

Conrad says that this bill isn't very good as it will reduce the amount that students can put on their HECS/HELP repayment to \$104,000 which isn't very good because a lot of students end up needing more than that. Conrad says that this will lead to students having to take out private loans. Conrad says that education is a right and not a privilege and that it is important that all students can access an education. Conrad says that it is really important that the Guild works with the NUS, as the NUS has had key wins over the last few years when dealing with higher education changes.

Megan says that it is important to acknowledge the non-legislative changes to higher education funding which are taking place such as the 'funding freeze'. Megan says that at UWA will be losing \$4 million of funding, which means class sizes will increase and the quality of education will deteriorate. Megan says that the Government also wants to pass a bill to lower the HECS repayment threshold to a level which is unfeasible for most people. Megan references herself by saying that she would be in no financial position to pay off her undergraduate degree. Megan says that students shouldn't have to be just scraping over minimum wage and then be forced to repay their HECS loan. Megan says that these changes might act as a deterrent for students that might be seeking a postgraduate degree.

Jacob C asks if we can amend the motion to show support for CAPA's efforts to go against these changes?

Conrad says that that CAPA did a press release, but in this motion the Guild isn't endorsing their press release. Conrad says that the reference to the NUS is to support their ongoing efforts against cuts to higher education.

Reece says that there was a lot of mentioning of Postgraduate students.



UWA STUDENT GUILD
The University of Western Australia
M300, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, WA 6009
(08) 6488 2295, hello@guild.uwa.edu.au
facebook.com/UWASStudentGuild

Guild Council Meeting Minutes
March 28th, 2018

Conrad suggests a friendly amendment to add Part E to the motion. 'Will continue to work with CAPA and the NUS to oppose these changes'.

Peter says that as a Postgraduate Student and someone that has been at UWA for nearly 8 years it is really important that this motion is approved by Council. Peter says that the University has a lot of great programs aimed at getting students from poorer socio-economic backgrounds to University and if they do a Postgraduate degree then they are in a position where they are unlikely to be able to pay their loans back.

Lincoln says that he would like to commend Conrad on this motion and that it is incredibly important that as a Council we engage with issues like this and speak out against these issues. Lincoln says that if anyone is thinking about not voting for this issues that they need to re-evaluate their priorities because this is what Guild Council should be fundamentally pushing for and this is something that most students would be impacted by.

Dylan says that this motion is also important for Mature Aged students, as it is very easy to reach the \$104000 limit and therefore it is important that this motion is passed.

For: Megan Lee, James Leipold, Yerim Won, Conrad Hogg, Bre Shanahan, Peter Watson, Adhish Kastha, Jacob Fowler, Raaghav Raj, Nevin Jayawardena, Su Sugandha, Ivan Roncevich, Audrey Tacson, Benjamin Perry, Jacob Colangelo, Yanjia Song, Kristel Li.

Against: None.

Abstaining: Kate Fletcher and Anthea Lui.

MOTION PASSES

14.0 CLOSE OF MEETING

Next meeting will be held Tuesday 24th April 2018 at 6:00pm. Please contact the Guild Secretary (secretary@guild.uwa.edu.au) with any apologies or proxies. All office bearers and department officers will be available at 5:30pm immediate prior to the meeting. If unable to attend, please advise which dates you are available to reschedule, if a quorum cannot be met.

Meeting closed 8:05pm