



1.0 WELCOME AND OPENING

1.1

Lucy welcomed everyone and acknowledged that UWA is situated on Noongar land. She paid her respects to Noongar elders past and present, and acknowledged the Noongar people remain the spiritual and cultural custodians of their land and that they continue to practice their beliefs, languages, values and knowledge.

1.2 Attendance

Maddie Mulholland (Guild President), Tom Burke (Treasure), Michael Kabondo (Secretary), Charlie Viska (Vice President) Peter Derbyshire (PSA President), Lucy Moyle (Chair), Emma Boogaardt (Ed Council President), Jack Looby (SOC President), Emily Law (Welfare Officer), Ben Martin (OGC), Brad Forbes (OGC), Chad Bensky (OGC), Jacky Chiang (OGC), Jess Porter-Langson (OGC), Jesse Martino (OGC), Steph Munro (OGC), Shyaam Patel (RSD President), Fraser Windsor (Queer Officer), Tyson McEwan (WASAC Chair), Kenneth Foo (ISS), Julian Coleman (OGC and Senate Representative), Torey Rickerby (Sports Representative), Dennis Venning (Environment Officer), Joanne Lim (OGC), Reece Gherardi (Queer Officer), Denisse Fierro Arcos (VACE Chair), Kate Prendergast (Pelican Editor), Denisse Fierro Arcos (VACE Chair).

1.3 Apologies

Nick Brown (OGC), Emilie Fitzgerald (PROSH & Relay@UWA Director), Matt Clarke-Massera (PROSH & Relay@UWA Director).

1.4 Proxies

Hannah Matthews (for Megan Lee), Lina El Rakhawy (for Laura Mwiragua), Thomas Coltrona (for Brad Forbes).

1.5 Observers

None

Accepted

2.0 DECLARATIONS OF POTENTIAL OR PERCEIVED CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None.

3.0 CONFIRMATION OF PREVIOUS MINUTES

3.1 Guild Council Meeting 27th January 2016.

Maddie noted a few grammatical changes that should be made to the minutes, and sought clarification on some sentences used.

Ben said he had quite a few concerns about the way the phrasing of his questions are worded in the minutes, often because when he asks questions he quotes a report and that framework is required. He referred to specific points in the minutes that he had concerns over.

Maddie said, we moved a couple of years ago from doing word for word transcript minutes to general action minutes and so if we've missed entire questions that's obviously an issue, but in terms of full detail and background the idea is that you capture the general gist of what's been said. If you want it in more detail you just need to say in Council that you want the whole specific thing minuted.

Ben replied, that he understands that, but the issue was more with transparency of the minutes.

Maddie said, this was not intentional and urged council that if they wanted minuted word for word that they state it after their sentence.

Michael said he would go over the January 27th minutes again and rectify them for approval via circular or at the next meeting.

4.0 BUSINESS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES

5.0 DIRECTORS' REPORTS

5.1 Managing Director's Report

Report as Tabled

Things have been very busy; we have had a good start to the year with orientation, with what looks to have been around 9000 people. Overall the operation was successful and now we are waiting to get a bit of feedback from clubs and societies in terms of general sign ups and how they went. He updated Council that a new Commercial Director has been recruited who should hopefully be starting at the end of the month. He added that Catering is going well, all outlets seem to be functioning very well.

Emma asked what the "Engagement Manager" was.

Tony said essentially it's a new role that was created from a vacancy, this encompasses social media engagement but also has design and marketing reporting back to them. A different structure from one we are currently in.

Jesse inquired about the Service Level Agreement, Tony said that it is with the DVCE. Jesse wanted to know if we had added all we wanted to it and just awaiting approval?

Maddie said it had been sent to the DVC who have an electronic copy, so they can provide feedback and approve it.

5.2 Associate Director Corporate Services & Finance Report

Report as Tabled.

Mutya wanted to highlight that the financial audit is being finalised and she is hoping that it will be submitted to Council for approval by the meeting at the end of the month. She also added, that the SRC had approved a new cleaning company.

5.3 Associate Director of Student Services Report

Report as Tabled.

Accepted

A motion was moved to consider the following agenda items first in this order 6.13, 6.14, 8.1, 8.2, 6.17, 6.18, 6.19. 6.20, 8.3. Motion carried.

6.0 REPORTS

6.1 Guild President

Report as Tabled.

Maddie had a few things to report on that were not in the report. The first being that we ordered ten and a half thousand diaries this year and we are down to our last thousand, they have been hugely popular and we are in the process of ordering more. Convocation Day tree planting ceremony was taking place this Friday, which will be a new tradition for Guild Presidents. She only had one amendment to make which was on the Facilities Development Committee submissions, which are actually due on 18th March.

6.2 Vice-President

Report as Tabled.

6.3 Secretary

Report as Tabled.

6.4 Treasurer

Report as Tabled.

6.5 Education Council President

Report as Tabled.

Emma added that O-Day was really successful for the EAN.

6.6 Public Affairs Council President

Report as Tabled.

6.7 Societies Council President

Report as tabled.

Jack gave an update Club Carnival numbers, 83 Clubs signed up. He encouraged Councillors to come along and also use it as a way to get more people for the Guinness World Record attempt.

6.8 Women's Department

Report as tabled.

6.9 Welfare Department

Report as tabled.

6.10 Environment Department

Report as tabled.

Dennis added that there has been an update on the financials because his grant came through so it's +\$1000.

6.11 International Student Services

Report as tabled

6.12 Queer Department

Report as Tabled.

Fraser said O-day was really successful and they had their first event tonight.

6.13 Guild Sports Representative

Torey provided a verbal report. We had Orientation Sports, which was the first time ever for those events. Many people attended these activities, it was great to see new students participating with their FacSocs. He added that he is considering doing a charity sporting event and if anyone was keen to help with that they should message him.

6.14 WASAC Chair

Report as Tabled.

6.15 Postgraduate Student's Association

Report as Tabled.

Peter added that O-Day was great for PSA and apologised to anyone who had the purple slushies because they probably got diabetes.

6.16 Residential Student's Department

Report as Tabled.

6.17 Pelican Editors

Kate provided a verbal report - she introduced herself and said they had just done their first issue that she's proud of. She gave a brief summary and said that they launched their new website last year which is something being furthered this year. Currently they are working on the second edition and O-Day was a great success for them.

6.18 PROSH Directors

Report as Tabled.

6.19 Relay For Life Chair

Report as Tabled.

6.20 Volunteering & Community Engagement Committee Chair

Denisse gave a verbal report. She said VACE got a really good response on O-Day with a lot of signing up in different projects. She wanted everyone to know that if they had any ideas on ways to promote volunteering they should email her.

Maddie asked Denisse to inform Council of the Programme Director structure and their training on the weekend.

Denisse said there are about 20 Programme Directors and weekend for 3 days the Programme Directors, who are responsible for promoting particular volunteering projects met to get training on how to run these programmes.

6.21 Guild Council Chair

Report as Tabled.

6.22 Councillors.

Jesse gave a verbal update to Council on the main things that have been happening this year in Tenancy. We have started reviewing the allocation and mailbox policies. The allocation policy for storage and clubrooms just has to go through Governance and Guild Council so it can be approved. A new tenancy feedback form has been established for any issues that have arisen from tenants in Cameron Hall and the Central Wing. We have collected and completed 15 work orders for Cameron Hall that have been submitted to Campus Management and are being actioned. At the last Tenancy meeting a tour of the CCZ was given to tenant clubs and FacSocs, they found it really useful. We are currently looking at ways to increase the visibility of Cameron Hall. Lastly, he has asked Tenancy Committee, Tenants and FacSocs to submit the 5 top priorities on what they think the CapEx allocation money should be spent on in Cameron Hall and the Central Wing. These are being submitted to him and he will come up with a proposal and bring it at the Tenancy meeting once that is approved it will need to be approved by Guild Exec and Guild Council and then it will be underway.

Lucy opened the floor to questions on reports.

Jack asked a question relating to Laura's report. He asked how many Councillors who voted on the protest last meeting attended the 20th of February Protest? A few people identified themselves, and Jack said he feels as a Council if we are voting on a motion asking all students to attend a protest and few councillors can

go, he is worried that we lose the legitimacy with the people we are supposed to be representing. It could almost be seen as semi-hypocritical.

Maddie responded by saying, it was externally promoted but thinks we need to do more internal promotion so that everyone on Council knows what's happening and their expectations.

Emma said, she agrees with Jack and right now you should all go to your diaries and put in 12pm-2pm on the 6th of April, the National day of Action. You are all encouraged to intend.

Steph had a few questions for Tom. First was that, he mentioned that he made enquiries as to different food vans to be brought on campus and she was wondering what was being discussed? The second was that he mentioned the Nightlife music system and if he could expand on that further? Lastly what was the "Hey You" order system?

A motion was moved to go in camera to discuss food vans. **Motion Carried.**

Tom responded that the nightlife music system is like Spotify - it gives us access to a huge database of music that we can play through the Tavern's music system which we haven't had in the last few years. This will allow us to have up to date music. It also comes with music videos, although it doesn't cover rights of the music. In regards to the "Hey You" order system, it's an app that lets you order food through the app from the cafes and lets you pay for it on there and you just have to pick up your food.

A motion was moved to go in camera. **Motion carried.**

A motion to accept all the reports was moved. **Accepted.**

7.0 QUESTION TIME

None.

8.0 MOTIONS ON NOTICE

8.1 "The Guild Council approves the name *Mandalup* as the name of the new Reid Café."

Moved: Maddie Mullholland; Seconded: Tyson McEwan

Maddie said the Reid Library ground floor is being redeveloped, including a new café. It was recommended that an Indigenous name be considered for the café and that we consult with WASAC to find a name. The purpose is to allow indigenous students to feel a sense of connection to the library and feel that it is a space of their own.

Tyson added, after being informed by Maddie he went and did some consultation and brainstorming for ideas. They then came up with Mandalup which means "a place of coming together and socialising". It was decided that what was the best representative name for the café.

Maddie concluded by saying, because it is a Guild café it has to then be approved by Council then it will be forwarded onto Senate for approval.

The Motion was put. **Passed Unanimously.**

8.2 That Guild Council adopt into the Guild Policy Book the following policy:

"This Guild recognises WASAC as the sole peak representative body and voice for indigenous students at UWA. All representation on issues affecting and raised by indigenous students will be responded to by the WASAC President or, if by any other Member of Guild Council, in collaboration with the WASAC Committee. No other student body within the UWA community shall speak as the peak representative body and voice for indigenous UWA students."

Moved: Maddie Mulholland; Seconded: Tyson McEwan

Maddie said in discussions with SIS (School of Indigenous Studies) she realised that WASAC was never recognised as the peak representative body for Indigenous students, and as the peak body any representation of Indigenous students should be done by them or in consultation with them. This is just to make it apparent in the policy book.

Steph asked what the benefit of having it in the policy book were, just because there was something quite similar in statute 20?

Maddie responded that statute 20 refers to “ATSISD”, which really hasn’t existed in the last 5 years. This policy will apply in the mean time until the regulations are updated and that it is not in contrast to the regulations.

An amendment was added to change the wording from WASAC President to Chair.

That Guild Council adopt into the Guild Policy Book the following policy:

“This Guild recognises WASAC as the sole peak representative body and voice for indigenous students at UWA. All representation on issues affecting and raised by indigenous students will be responded to by the WASAC Chair or, if by any other Member of Guild Council, in collaboration with the WASAC Committee. No other student body within the UWA community shall speak as the peak representative body and voice for indigenous UWA students.”

Moved: Maddie Mulholland; Seconded: Tyson McEwan

The Motion was put. **Passed Unanimously with amendment.**

8.3 That Guild Council accepts the Corporate Services Committee recommendation to choose “Task” as the Point of Sale system, with regards to the attached comparison of choices. This option is within the capital expenditure budget of \$150,000 for 2016. Moved: Maddie Mulholland; Seconded: Charlie Viska

Maddie deferred her speaking rights to Mutya.

Mutya stated that our existing system is more than 10 years old and it is becoming an increasing problem. An issue that arises from this is that because the system is so old we cannot even update the software due to capability and this doesn’t allow us to have the necessary inventory controls to have that transparency within the business. We want to move to the next stage of transparency and more accurate financial reports and in order to do that we need a new POS (Point of Sale) system, both hardware and software. We considered a couple of systems and eventually shortlisted it to three. She then went through the proposal and concluded by saying TASK would be a good advanced system.

Charlie added that NetSuite integration and functionality were heavily considered in the recommendation of TASK by the Corporate Services Committee. Overall benefits from the system such as advertisements and customer data collection could be also beneficial for integration with My Guild to personalise the catering experience for students on campus.

Steph sought clarification from the business case. It states that BePoz took 1week-5months to integrate, what was the difference?

Mutya replied that it could take between 1 week to 5 months.

Steph also asked if there were plans for use of the POS system for advertisements once it has been installed and if it was something we were definitely looking into.?

Maddie said, it’s basically a front facing screen like an iPad. We can use it to collect data through surveys, advertisements and promote Guild events, so it will definitely be used we just need to decide on how to go about it.

The motion was put. **Passed Unanimously**

8.4 That Guild Council:

- a) Reaffirms the Guild Policy on refugees, in particular that the UWA Student Guild is a refugee safe haven; demands an end to mandatory detention; and welcomes all refugees.**

- b) Condemns any move by the Federal government to return any of the 267 asylum seekers to Nauru.**
Moved: Nick Brown (Emma Boogaerdt) Seconded: Jack Looby

(Due to Nick Brown not being able to attend the meeting, Emma opted to pick up this motion as the mover)

Emma said, most of you are unaware of the Human Rights abuses that occur on Nauru when we deport genuine asylum seekers there. Recently there's been a lot in the media about the 267 asylum seekers to Nauru in particular those who are young infants or babies. She thinks locking children up in a facility is quite abhorrent and something the Guild should oppose. There are a lot of students on campus who are refugees, or have refugee relatives which is why she thinks this issue is somewhat pertinent. The Guild has a position raised in the early 2000's as a refugee safe heaven which is in the motion. Nick just wanted to move this to reaffirm this stance.

Jack echoed Emma's statement, this motion is reaffirming an established position. It's something students have cared for in the past and continue to care for. It's just linking our current policy at hand to existing policy. In saying that, he thinks it is relevant because last year we saw Student Refugees start up as a club again, so clearly there is interest in that.

Shyaam wanted to get more information on what the action "safe haven for refugees meant" on a day to day basis?

Emma replied, that was passed in an all student referendum back in the early 2000's, she's not too entirely sure on what it meant, she assumes it doesn't literally mean housing refugees on campus, but a principal stance if there is a student who is a refugee we will help and support them that when issues, because that is her interpretation.

Maddie added that they are by-laws the university have against sleeping on campus.

Steph asked if we should add that "it is subject to the by-laws" to the motion, or if it was assumed. Maddie said that it would be assumed, and we would not act contrary to the by-laws, without exception being granted.

The motion was put for debate.

Ben began by saying as always every month he is probably going to be repeating the same speech, but Steph and him continue to reaffirm that the fact that they are consistently going against any motion that they don't see to be relevant to students and of course this one is no exception, you can try and spin it however you want, but there's really no relevance to students on this issue. It's a position on Federal government policy, that's all it is and that's why you mentioned mandatory sentencing. You mentioned the fact that we had refugee students, but he doesn't see how that's a factor in affecting the Guild's ability to have a position on code of conduct policy. So irrelevant of what his position on the legislation is, he thinks it's the Guild's job to stay focused on the real student issues. In addition to that if we can't sit here and have a discussion on an agreement on what a refugee safe haven is, whether it is in the university by-laws he doubts that it is acceptable to move a motion that we don't understand the full definition of the terms, later on whether or not we support what it's objective is. He requested that this be minuted as transcript.

Reece spoke 'for' the motion. In section to part a) of the policy book, the objectives of the Guild shall be "to foster all that tends to the advancement of learning, and ennoblement of life", particularly on the last point, seeking asylum is an internationally recognised human right by the United Nations as far as he is aware,

Australia is a signatory of the UN document and therefore the UWA Student Guild have a responsibility to provide a safe haven for refugees.

Thomas Coltrona, said he took some of Ben's points in regards to looking at policy and even if we as a Guild Council don't have a clear idea of what a safe haven is, it could be addressed later. Part a) of the clause is looking at re-affirming an established Guild policy that we already have and previous Council passed. By passing it we are saying this Council agrees with the referendum that happened years ago. If Ben had problems with the Federal part of the motion part b), it might be better to split the motion.

Ben replied. Considering Part a) demands an end to mandatory detention that would also be contrary to his belief on if the Guild should even be commenting on that policy. He believes both parts are contrary to the Guild's objectives.

Maddie said you would've read many reports, which report what the Guild (through staff and student representatives) does on a day-to-day basis. The Office bearers and staff all work extremely hard to deliver services, initiatives, events and campaigns for students. In terms of what comes to Council as motions - it'll be anything that requires a budget proposal of over \$20 000, anything that requires us to make a large strategic decision or commercial decision. In terms of the Policy Book, that is the Guild's stance on anything that allows us to inform our campaigns when we go to media, so it is important that if we feel an issue is important to students that we accept them into the Policy Book.

Dennis spoke 'for' the motion. The idea that the motion is not relevant to students is silly, because whether you like it or not, Nick Brown was elected and chose to bring this to Council and he happens to know hundreds of students who campaign for refugees. So the idea that student life just means parties is wrong, because that's not what student life is, it is anything that students do. Hence this a directly relevant thing and there are a lot of students who care about this and Ben might not be one of them, but a student on this Council brought it here and because it's already a stance and we're re-affirming it, it's not an irrelevant thing, but something students care about.

Emma echoed what Dennis said. She said that she agrees that it is a bit silly to think that we are here just to do a specific small amount of things. A lot of corporations come out with stances on particular issues for example marriage equality. While we do the operational side of things, it is important that we are a voice for students in general that are in favour of treating asylum seekers humanely, it is important that the Guild considers these issues.

The motion was put. Motion Passed.

For: Maddie Mulholland, Tom burke, Peter Derbyshire, Emma Boogaerdt, Hannah Matthews, Jack Looby, Lina El Rakhawy, Torey Rickerby, Kenneth Foo, Thomas Coltrona, Charlie Viska, Jacky Chiang, Jess Porter-Langson, Jesse Martino, Joanne Lim, Julian Coleman, Lucy Moyle, Michael Kabondo, Tyson McEwan.

Against: Ben Martin, Steph Munro.

Abstain: Julian Coleman, Chad Bensky.

8.5 That the UWA Student Guild supports having a minimum of three democratically elected student members of the UWA Senate and endorses the 'Save Our Voice' campaign which aims to protect these positions.

Moved: Maddie Mulholland, Seconded: Emma Boogaerdt

Maddie begun by stating that over the past few months we've been aware that the State Government are proposing a University amendment bill, which amends the 5 University acts. The membership of students and staff are up for review. This is looking at reducing the amount of students and staff on the Senate and changing these positions from elected to appointed. She has concerns, firstly the reduced voice of students on the Senate, and secondly moving from elected to appointed in terms of the types of people appointed.

Emma agreed with Maddie. She believes it is of key importance that we have different voices on Senate. The idea that Senate needs to become smaller and more like a corporate board is bazar. What we've seen is universities who have employed this system are reverting back to original legislation, because they recognise

that student and staff voices are very important. As representatives of the Student Guild we have an obligation to uphold the importance of a student voice.

Julian said genuine student representation on the Senate is important, because you won't get the same kind of behaviour from an appointed person. By default, an appointed person may modify their behaviour and their values to get their position. Following on from the corporate business idea, there are many corporate companies who operate the way Senate does now with elected representatives and stakeholders.

Ben started by saying it is no surprise he is supporting the legislation, which means he is against the motion. The legislation is very sound as it brings the education sector in line with every other sector in the world. So we should just disassociate this idea that the education sector should be different than any other sector, by that logic any patient who is admitted to a hospital should have a voice on the hospital board. The provision that this legislation provides gives the university the flexibility to decide whether they want to elect or appoint the positions on to the Senate, so it doesn't completely scrap elected positions as the campaign is suggesting. So, he doesn't have any problems with appointed positions and it just gives the university the flexibility to determine its own course of action for its senators as every single board of directors around the world have the ability to do. If they want the elections, they can have the elections. There is not a provision to remove student representation in general, it's a revision to allow the university to have the option if it so decides to appoint or elect. So absolutely he does not agree with the campaign or motion, and he would urge everyone to vote against the motion because he does not believe the campaign is sound or well thought out and he believes the legislation is very good. He asked for his response to be minuted by transcript.

Peter said it is important we have elected representatives on the Senate, because otherwise he doesn't think he would be appointed. He also has problems with reducing the number of students on Senate and often postgrads are forgotten, so if we reduce representation it is entirely possible a postgraduate voice could be lost. Furthermore, Universities that have their positions elected work well, because it is important to have the voice of your consumer present.

Charlie added, that having conversations with students on O-day and explaining the proposed changes, it is an issue that a lot of students who aren't politically engaged are engaging with.

Jesse said, this legislation is not a reflection of what students want at universities. So he is confused to why it is being put forward when it is the University Executive who are in favour of the legislation and not the student or staff voice who make up the majority of the university in the first place.

Lina stated that this should not be a political issue. It's about representing students on issues that are going to affect them. Speaking to students it was essentially a question on whether they wanted a voice.

Reece asked Ben why the University should have a right to appoint representatives, because if the student reps' are meant to represent the majority of students, why shouldn't they be elected by students?

Ben responded. It is important to note that in not supporting this motion, it's not that he believes that the students who will be appointed are any less representative. He doesn't believe that it will come as a detriment to students or their representation, because if he did, he wouldn't be supporting the motion. He believes that the university should have the opportunity to appoint for reasons he stipulated previously. He asked for his comments to be minuted by transcript.

Ben reaffirmed everything he previously said. The legislation is very sound and having very brief conversation with Minister Collier in respect to it, the legislation makes sense. The positions whether appointed or elected is irrelevant as noted the impact students have on Senate is minimal and not enough to change anything. But irrespective of that, he still believes that the student representation still exists irrespective of how they got there. The whole legislation is simply granting the university the flexibility to make the decision that it wants rather than anything else. Like he said, it brings the education sector back in line with every other sector in the world.

Tony said for us to think carefully on this, as it is something that will affect future generations and the way

the university is governed. He just wanted Councillors to recognise that there have been dramatic changes going on in the last couple of years.

Maddie gave her right of reply to Emma.

Emma said she re-affirms everything that has been said for the motion. She thinks as student reps we have a duty to the Guild to maintain elected positions onto Senate and of course other sectors have flexibility but that's not a reason to change things. Without a voice at the highest level of representation which is mainly confidential, if we don't have someone that is involved in the Guild and the Senate, we won't get anyone that reports back to the Guild. If they don't report back to the Guild how will we ensure that they act for the betterment of students. It's safe to say that the current VC may say he'll appoint the Guild President, but once he goes how will we be sure the next VC will do the same. The point is that this legislation is giving the university all of the power and not in the hands of staff students and alumni.

The motion was put. **Passed**

For: Maddie Mulholland, Tom burke, Peter Derbyshire, Emma Boogaardt, Hannah Matthews, Jack Looby, Lina El Rakhawy, Torey Rickerby, Kenneth Foo, Thomas Coltrona, Charlie Viska, Jacky Chiang, Jess Porter-Langson, Jesse Martino, Joanne Lim, Julian Coleman, Lucy Moyle, Michael Kabondo, Tyson McEwan, Julian Coleman, Chad Bensky.

Against: Ben Martin.

Abstain: Steph Munro.

8.6 That Guild Council approve \$50,000 to be invested in the capital expenditure for the Reid Café Development.

Moved: Maddie Mulholland, Seconded: Tom Burke

The reason this could not be on the agenda was that we found this out today, and it can't wait until next Council because it is required by tomorrow.

A motion was moved to discuss this motion. Motion carried.

Maddie deferred her speaking rights to Tony. He started by apologising for the motion but we only got 48 hours' notice on this particular project. The University have gone over budget in the construction of the café at Reid Library and they asked us to pull equipment out of the old café. We moved that equipment to other cafes to replace other equipment, so now we need to put money in for new equipment at Reid Café so we can provide services effectively. By saying to the university we approve this extra budget, we will be saying to the university that we are working in partnership with them on this project, but also thanking them for building the new café.

Ben asked where this money was coming from?

Maddie replied, our savings, because it isn't budgeted.

The Motion was put. **Passed**

For: Maddie Mulholland, Tom burke, Peter Derbyshire, Emma Boogaardt, Hannah Matthews, Jack Looby, Lina El Rakhawy, Torey Rickerby, Kenneth Foo, Thomas Coltrona, Charlie Viska, Jacky Chiang, Jess Porter-Langson, Jesse Martino, Joanne Lim, Julian Coleman, Lucy Moyle, Michael Kabondo, Julian Coleman, Chad Bensky, Steph Munro.

Against: None.

Abstain: Ben Martin.

8.7 That Guild Council, with reference to the discipline report published 15/12/15, clarifies that said report states that previous Education Council President Tom Beyer did not verbally assault or direct any attack towards any woman in the room, as was alleged by some complainants; and further states that witness

statements received by Discipline to support the above allegation were unfounded.
Moved: Maddie Mulholland, Seconded: Michael Kabondo

Maddie explained that this is not changing the findings of the report just providing clarity of the report, which says no threats were directed to anyone other than a specifically male complainant. So it does imply that this particular finding was unfounded. In terms of it being something that could not be on the Agenda, because of its nature it is a very sensitive issue for all of us and it also something that for us to move forward we shouldn't defer it until next meeting and would hope this is considered for discussion.

A motion was moved for consideration of the above motion. **Motion Carried.**

Jack said he is 'for' the motion; he says it is a good resolution to the ongoing dispute. He wanted to note that he has potential issues with Guild Executive being able to clarify a report without that being submitted to Guild Council. He did not think that was sufficient for him to vote this motion down, as it has gone through the defendant who has made it clear he is happy with the process. He is comfortable that the clarification reflects the intention of the report.

Emma said the clarification is clearly in line with the findings of the report. Moving forward into reviewing the discipline process we probably need to look at a way of publicly making it known what happened in a sensitive way.

Chad said this particular issue was done in a public and sometimes cruel and particularly unfair manner. It is important that we as Guild Council try and resolve this in a way that sort of rectifies that.

Jesse said he is in support of clarifying the report, because the report implies something specific and the defendant wants that specific thing clarified and he thought that was within the power of Guild Council to do. It is a positive outcome. In terms of Jack's issue with Guild Executive being able to do that, it could be a potential issue.

Charlie echoed a lot of what was said. He would like to emphasise that in discussions with Lucy, review of the discipline procedure regulations is a priority, thus ensuring this type of thing doesn't happen again.

Maddie had her right of reply. This was a difficult issue for Guild Executive to deal with - the only procedures we have in place are that if an appeal is received it goes to Council, but there's nothing there as to if the appeal is received late or if the person requests a clarification. Hence why she brought it to Council as a motion for discussion rather than Guild Executive making a decision as was suggested, but she too believes we need to find a clearer process. She also thinks this is a good endpoint for the situation.

The Motion was put. **Passed**

For: Maddie Mulholland, Tom burke, Emma Boogaerdt, Hannah Matthews, Jack Looby, Lina El Rakhawy, Torey Rickerby, Kenneth Foo, Thomas Coltrona, Charlie Viska, Jacky Chiang, Jess Porter-Langson, Jesse Martino, Joanne Lim, Julian Coleman, Lucy Moyle, Michael Kabondo, Julian Coleman, Chad Bensky.

Against: None

Abstain: Ben Martin, Steph Munro, Peter Derbyshire.

8.8 That Guild Council:

(a) Seek assurances from the University Executive that the Guild will be protected in any review.

(b) Notes the written assurances given to date are insufficient and requests more detailed and amenable assurances.

(c) Requests that the review be delayed until adequate assurances and consultation has been met.

(d) Will only agree to participate in the review if the above (a) to (c) are met.

Moved: Maddie Mulholland; Seconded: Peter Derbyshire

A procedural was moved to consider the motion. **Motion carried.**

The motion was put.

Passed

For: Maddie Mulholland, Tom burke, Emma Boogaardt, Hannah Matthews, Jack Looby, Lina El Rakhawy, Torey Rickerby, Kenneth Foo, Thomas Coltrona, Charlie Viska, Jacky Chiang, Jess Porter-Langson, Jesse Martino, Joanne Lim, Julian Coleman, Lucy Moyle, Michael Kabondo, Julian Coleman, Chad Bensky, Peter Derbyshire, Steph Munro.

Against: None

Abstain: Ben Martin.

8.9 That Guild Council allocate \$10 000 in addition to the budgeted legal costs for responding to the review of Commercial Activity.

Moved: Maddie Mulholland; **Seconded:** Emma Boogaardt.

A procedural was moved to consider the motion. **Motion carried.**

The motion was put.

Passed

For: Maddie Mulholland, Tom burke, Emma Boogaardt, Hannah Matthews, Jack Looby, Lina El Rakhawy, Torey Rickerby, Kenneth Foo, Thomas Coltrona, Charlie Viska, Jacky Chiang, Jess Porter-Langson, Jesse Martino, Joanne Lim, Julian Coleman, Lucy Moyle, Michael Kabondo, Julian Coleman, Chad Bensky, Peter Derbyshire, Steph Munro.

Against: None

Abstain: Ben Martin.

9.0 GENERAL BUSINESS

9.1 Proposals for use of the Environment Department and PROSH Room.

Dennis has vacated the Tnviro room, and PROSH are moving to a different room which they will now share with Relay. So we will have 2 rooms that will be vacant. The Executive have suggested making a broad committee that includes the Tenancy Executive, Guild Executive and other various Office Bearers. This committee would discuss proposals for use of the space and submit a proposal to SRC.

Jack thought the executive should have consulted the Tenancy committee first, even if it was going to end up having a broader committee, but he didn't feel it was done the right way.

Maddie takes on that Tenancy has a roll, the decision was made because Tenancy currently sits under SOC and we are looking at how the space should be used in a strategic discussion it would need to be done in a broader discussion.

Resolution: Proposals be deferred to SRC.

9.2 Renewal Proposal.

9.3 UWA Academic Calendar.

9.4 Cameron Hall

Jack suggested a brief tour of Cameron Hall before next meeting to discuss.

Deferred to next meeting.

9.5 Discipline Decision Update.

The defendant in this matter asked for this not to be discussed in-camera.

Maddie said as we are all aware, in previous council meetings she said we had received an appeal from the defendant of the Disciplinary Committee proceeding. That appeal was received after the deadline as ruled by Governance. The Executive sought advice from Gina Barron who is the head of the University's Complaints Resolution Unit about what to do. Her advice was that because there was an imbalance of power, that we should allow for reasons as to why the appeal was submitted late and if they were reasonable we accept the appeal. We then offered the defendant the opportunity to give reasons. Initially reasons were given, however that appeal has now been withdrawn and in its place a question of clarification has been submitted. Guild Executive have voted to recommend that the clarification be accepted. Following the reading of this clarification she was going to move a motion from the floor to respond to the question of clarification.

The question of clarification is, seeking explicit clarification of the currently vague verdicts reached in the part of the report submitted to Guild Council relating to the allegation of verbally assaulting a female complainant. As well as clarification of the validity of evidence provided to Guild Council relating to this matter.

Maddie then read out the proposed motion 8.7.

9.6 Facilities Development Committee Proposal.

9.7 Review of Courses.

9.8 UWA Masterplan Commercial Consultants.

Motion was moved to go in Camera. **Motion carried.**

Discussion on the Masterplan was open.

Following this motions 8.8 and 8.9 were moved.

10.0 CLOSE / NEXT MEETING

The next meeting is scheduled for Wednesday 30th March 2016 at 6.00pm. Please contact the Guild Secretary (secretary@guild.uwa.edu.au) with apologies or proxies. All office bearers will be available from 5.30pm. If unable to attend, please advise which dates you are available to reschedule if a quorum cannot be met.